

# ADELAIDE INSTITUTE

PO Box 3300  
Adelaide 5067  
Australia  
Mob: 61+401692057  
Email: [info@adelaideinstitute.org](mailto:info@adelaideinstitute.org)  
Web: <http://www.adelaideinstitute.org>

Online  
ISSN 1440-9828



May 2014 No 761

## 'Child, what are you doing?'



'Filing my teeth pointy ... I need to look more attractive. Haven't found a job yet.'

\*



# The Politically Incorrect Guide to Zionist Ideology

Monday, November 25th, 2013 | Posted by [Jonas E. Alexis](#)

**Examining an ideology takes mental exertion because an ideology always delivers both political and intellectual consequences. For example, Freudian psychoanalysis promises freedom from sexual suppression, yet delivers incest and sexual bondage.**<sup>[1]</sup>

Feminism, which was a largely Jewish movement and which still has a sizable number of Jewish intellectuals,<sup>[2]</sup> promises to empower women and free them from "male domination," but ends up denigrating them and alienating them from true reality by largely promoting pornography as an alternative to traditional family values.

This became very clear when Betty Friedan (born Bettie Naomi Goldstein), the leading figure who energized the Feminist movement in the twentieth century, declared, "Suppressing pornography is extremely dangerous to women."<sup>[3]</sup>

It couldn't get any more obvious. Women who thought that Friedan was going to liberate them from "male domination" inevitably and indirectly ended up embracing Friedan's pornographic ideology, which got morphed into sexual politics in the 1960s and beyond with the publication of Friedan's *The Feminist Mystique*.<sup>[4]</sup>

But by 1979, radical Jewish feminist Andrea Dworkin stirred the feminist establishment by showing a striking link between Marquis de Sade and pornography and by implicitly arguing that pornography, Marquis de Sade, and sexual violence are concentric circles.

Instead of empowering women, argues Dworkin, pornography humiliates them and treats them like, well, whores and cunts and sluts and bitches. Dworkin even goes to the Greek etymological definition pornography, which means "whores," or "sexual slaves," and "specifically and exclusively the lowest class of whore, which in ancient Greece was the brothel slut available to all male citizens."<sup>[5]</sup> Dworkin—who was raped as a child,<sup>[6]</sup> became a prostitute for a while and then an academic<sup>[7]</sup>—writes in *Pornography: Men Possessing Women*, "The word *pornography* does not mean 'writing about sex' or 'depictions of the erotic' or 'depictions of sexual acts' or 'depictions of nude bodies' or 'sexual presentations' or any other such euphemism. It means the graphic depiction of women as vile whores..."

"Contemporary pornography strictly and literally conforms to the word's root meaning: the graphic depiction of vile whores, or, in our language, sluts, cows (as in: sexual cattle, sexual chattel), cunts."<sup>[8]</sup>

"In pornography," Dworkin previously writes, "the object is slut," and "As one goes through the pictures of the tortured and maimed, reads the stories of gang rape and bondage, what emerges most clearly is a portrait of men who need to believe in their own absolute, unchangeable, omnipresent, eternal, limitless power over others."<sup>[9]</sup>

But because she was duped by the feminist ideology which would not allow her to think clearly and establish a consistent

and coherent argument throughout her book, Dworkin irresponsibly argued that rape and marriage are also two sides of the same coin. As a corollary, Dworkin added, "The metaphysics of male sexual domination is that women are whores."<sup>[10]</sup>



Andrea Dworkin

Though Dworkin was not consistent, she implicitly did make one point which the feminist movement could not logically answer: by defending pornography, feminist apologists were inexorably defending Marquis de Sade's blatant pornography, which he articulated in *oeuvres* such as *Philosophy in the Bedroom*. Keep in mind that in the Sadean world, there are no morals, and you should seek pleasure—most specifically pornographic pleasure—even at the expense of everyone else. Sade put it so beautifully when he stated, **"The philosopher sates his appetites without inquiring to know what his enjoyments may cost others, and without remorse."**<sup>[11]</sup>

If moral principles are abandoned and Enlightenment ideology is substituted, then women, by deduction, are just disposable pleasure machines. Sade once again declared, **"A pretty girl ought simply to concern herself with fucking, and never with engendering. No need to touch at greater length on what pertains to the dull business of population, from now on we shall address ourselves principally, nay, uniquely to those libertine lecheries whose spirit is in no wise reproductive."**<sup>[12]</sup>

Sade, as we saw in a previous article, got his sexual ideology from the Enlightenment *philosophes*, most specifically de la Mettrie and d'Holbach. It was de la Mettrie who wrote *Man: a Machine*. That idea had widespread consequences and it was even picked up by Ernst Haeckel in Germany in order to forge arguments for abortion. Haeckel wrote,

"The ovum is part of the mother's body over which she has full right of control and that the embryo that develops from it, as well as the new-born child, is quite unconscious, or is a purely 'reflex machine,' like any other vertebrate."<sup>[13]</sup>

A "reflex machine" does not have a will of its own or a conscience, does not have the capacity to understand good and evil, and does not even act by instinct. So, according to Haeckel, it is perfectly legitimate to smash that "reflex



machine” and make a better one. In other words, he reduces an unborn child to a piece of metal or plastic or wood.

This was indeed the ideological framework upon which many intellectuals based their subsequent premises, and this largely came out of the Enlightenment period in France in particular. Sade wrote,

**“Women, who are nothing but machines designed for voluptuousness, who ought to be nothing but the targets of lust, are untrustworthy authorities whenever one has got to construct an authentic doctrine upon this kind of pleasure.”**<sup>[14]</sup>

E. Michael Jones comments,

CONFIDENTIAL



Arnon Milchan and Shimon Peres, a close friendship and strategic partnership that has lasted since 1965. They are the holders of some of Israel's most significant secrets.

“This and other passages indicate that sexual liberation is a system in which behavior dictates reason, and once reason is no longer the light according to which man acts, force takes its place, and force...means sexual exploitation of women.

“As Sade makes perfectly clear, the inner logic of sexual liberation is always might makes right. The truth is the opinion of the powerful. The good is the desires of the powerful. Sexual liberation is, therefore, of its essence a form of control.”<sup>[15]</sup>

In a nutshell, Sade was implicitly laying the groundwork for contraception and abortion, two social and political engines which were picked up by the WASP ruling class and Jewish revolutionaries in America in the twentieth century,<sup>[16]</sup> and black feminists such as Barbara Smith didn't seem to have enough historical and intellectual insight to grasp the political implications of both contraception and abortion.<sup>[17]</sup> If they did understand those systems, they would have fled from them as fast as they could.

Smith and others like herself could not realize that they were being treated as experimentations in a political laboratory. The recent release of the movie *12 Years as a Slave* is a classic example.

The producer behind the movie is none other than Arnon Milchan,<sup>[18]</sup> an Israeli former agent for the Mossad. Milchan also had closed ties with Brad Pitt and Angelina Jolie, Robert de Niro, Russell Crowe, and Ben Affleck. De Niro admitted that he knew Milchan lived a double life. As an Israeli double agent, Milchan said that “I did it for my country and I'm proud of it.”<sup>[19]</sup>

What, then, did Milchan do? Well, both Shimon Peres and Benjamin Netanyahu used him for espionage work against the U.S. Peres bragged,

**“Arnon is a special man. It was I who recruited him. Working secretly, from outside the official system, he brought extraordinary ideas and a level of creativity that greatly contributed to our country.”**<sup>[20]</sup>


What is the message that Milchan is promoting? Well, it is the same message that he proposed more than twenty years ago in the movie *The Power of One*. Milchan wants to remind blacks that Europeans are their enemies.

In short, Jewish revolutionaries want to recruit others in the ideological war—but those people must be in their favor. Perhaps people like Smith should start reading Harold Cruse's *The Crisis of Negro Intellectual*, in which he argues that Jewish revolutionaries and organizations placed blacks in revolutionary cells so that they could fight “anti-Semitism.”

It could easily be argued that contraception and abortion are sanitized versions of eugenics, an ideology which started by a sizable number of British intellectuals and which quickly got spread like a virus in America with serious political consequences.<sup>[21]</sup> Margaret Sanger took all those ideas and translated them into one unifying theme: birth control. This is the *weltanschauung* of Planned Parenthood.<sup>[22]</sup>

Throughout much of her life, Sanger sought to find a black minister who would understand the sterilization process. She wrote,

“What I wish to see is the employment of an up and doing modern minister, colored, and up and doing modern colored medical man, both to come to New York and train at the Clinic [her clinic] and at the [International Planned Parenthood] Federation until they are oozing with birth control as well as population.”<sup>[23]</sup> This black minister would spread her ideology “as to our ideals and the goal that we hope to reach.”<sup>[24]</sup>



Sanger got what she was looking for in 1929 when a black social worker by the name of James H. Hubert, executive secretary of New York's Urban League, answered Sanger's call. Historian Cathy Moran Hajo writes,

“Opened in February 1930 with the backing of Harlem's elite, the branch clinic had its own fifteen-member advisory board of African American doctors, clergymen, nurses, journalists, and social workers who advised and promoted the clinic.

"The clinic was publicized in the African American press, in the pulpits of some of Harlem's most respected churches, and through medical channels.

"Sanger funded the clinic through grants from the Chicago-based Julius Rosenwald Fund and the donations of other white donors.

"The Harlem Branch treated 1,737 patients in its first year, about half of them African Americans. Sanger continued funding the branch, asking its advisory council to focus on ways of increasing black attendance."[\[25\]](#)

Keep in mind that eugenic principles and applications were widespread in the early part of the twentieth century in Europe, and that proved detrimental.

If you sterilize people, that means that you are only left with the so-called "well-born," and the "well-born" like Francis Galton did not want to populate the earth (Galton never had children).

Some "well-born" like Charles Darwin did not produce "well-born" children, an issue which caused Darwin to reject Christianity altogether (it was not science that led Darwin to reject Christianity; it was the problem of evil).

What would you do with a minority of people who did not buy into the sterilization project? Well, you try to convert the educated ones into your ideology and sterilize the "unfit" among them as well. This was Margaret Sanger's solution to what she called "the Negro Project." She wrote in 1938,

"The mass of Negroes, particularly in the South, still breed carelessly and disastrously, with the result that the increase among Negroes, even more than among whites, is from that portion of the population least intelligent and fit, and least able to rear children properly."[\[26\]](#)

Does that by itself make Sanger a racist? Absolutely not! Was her plan wicked? Absolutely. How does that work? Well, Sanger was proposing the same thing among Europeans—the elimination of the "unfit." In fact, before she died, Sanger received a medal "from the Emperor of Japan for her birth-control work in his country."[\[27\]](#) Keep also in mind that Sanger was also a flaming feminist.



**Barbara Smith**

As it turned out, Sanger was unsurprisingly also a sexual liberationist. Let us hear from Sanger herself in her classic text *The Pivot of Civilization*:

"Psychology is now recognizing the forces concealed in the human organism. In the long process of adaptation to social life, men have had to harness the wishes and desires born of these inner energies, the greatest and most imperative of which are Sex and Hunger...

"Slowly but surely we are breaking down the taboos that surround sex; but we are breaking them down out of sheer necessity. The codes that have surrounded sexual behavior in the so-called Christian communities, the teachings of the churches concerning chastity and sexual purity, the prohibitions of the laws, and the hypocritical conventions of society, have all demonstrated their failure as safeguards against the chaos produced and the havoc wrought by the failure to recognize sex as a driving force in human nature—as great as, if indeed not greater than, hunger.

"Its dynamic energy is indestructible. It may be transmuted, refined, directed, even sublimated, but to ignore, to neglect, to refuse to recognize this great elemental force is nothing less than foolhardy."

**"We must teach men the overwhelming power of this radiant force...Through sex, mankind may attain the great spiritual illumination which will transform the world, which will light up the only path to an earthly paradise. So must we necessarily and inevitably conceive of sex-expression?"**[\[28\]](#)

After she was deeply "enlightened" by the ideas of Rousseau, Lenin, and others, Sanger told her husband that she wanted to divorce herself from

"the strict bonds of the marriage bed. She even suggested to him that they seriously consider experimenting with various trysts, infidelities, fornications, and adulteries.

"Because of her careful tutoring in socialist dogma, she had undergone a sexual liberation—at least intellectually—and she was now ready to test it authentically and physically."[\[29\]](#)

Sanger quickly got involved in a sexual relationship with Havelock Ellis, who was a sort of precursor to Alfred Kinsey.[\[30\]](#) But Ellis was hardly Sanger's only sexual machine: "Anarchist Lorenzo Portet, Jonah Goldstein, Hugh de Selincourt, Three-in-One oil magnate J. Noah Slee (whom she later married for his money and made sign a marriage agreement that allowed her complete freedom, no questions asked), H. G. Wells, Herbert Simonds, Harold Child, Angus MacDonald, Hobson Pitman, and many others...all, in turn, became her lovers. Such was the pattern of her entire life."[\[31\]](#)

Biographer Madeline Gray writes that "Margaret was seeking poise and surcease from her recurrent depression through astrology, numerology, sex, religious cults, and friends. No wonder, despite all the talk of her twinkling laughter, most of the pictures of her taken after 1925 reveal her as sad."[\[32\]](#)

About children, Sanger wrote: "The most merciful thing a large family can do

to one of its infant members is to kill it."[\[33\]](#)

Sanger was born into a large family, as "one of eleven children, four girls and seven boys. In addition, Margaret's mother had seven miscarriages."[\[34\]](#)

Suppose Sanger's large family had put her dogma into practice? Would she even have been born, to write such foolishness? What would the history of Planned Parenthood be like, or even the history of abortion itself, if Sanger happened to be one of the babies her mother miscarried? It is one thing to hold an intellectual belief, but quite another to apply it realistically to oneself.


Like James H. Hubert, Jesse Jackson would probably have been another perfect choice for Sanger. Given the right price, Jackson can say just about anything. In 1977, he wrote an "Open Letter to Congress" declaring in part, "as a matter of conscience I must oppose the use of federal funds for a policy of killing infants. What happens...to the moral fabric of a nation that accepts the aborting of the life of a baby without a pang of conscience." So far, so good.

But a few years later, when the good Reverend was spreading his political horizon and accumulating a large sum of money from various sources,<sup>[35]</sup> Jackson declared,

"Those advocates of taking life prior to birth do not call it killing or murder, they call it abortion. They further never talk about aborting a baby because that would imply something human. Rather, they talk about aborting the fetus. Fetus sounds less than human and therefore can be justified."<sup>[36]</sup>

John D. Rockefeller III was particularly interested in population control as far back as 1965 and even sent letters to Rome asking that the Catholic Church change its position on birth control. The letter read:

"As I see it, if the Church does not supply this leadership, there will be two consequences: one, the present accelerating pace toward population stabilization will proceed, country by country, without over-all guidance or direction, particularly on the moral side: one the other, if I may speak perfectly frankly, the church will be bypassed on an issue of fundamental importance to its people and to the well-being of all mankind.



**John D. Rockefeller**

"The flooding tide cannot be stopped or even slowed, but it can be guided. Because I believe so keenly in the importance of the role which your church has to play in our troubled world

of today, I am deeply concerned to see a situation developing which in the long run, it seems to me, inevitably will be harmful to the Church's position around the world."<sup>[37]</sup>

By that time, John D. Rockefeller III was already steeped in funding abortion advocacy throughout the U.S. In fact, Abby Aldrich Rockefeller, wife of John D. Rockefeller, "was a liberal Republican who supported Planned Parenthood, the United Jewish Appeal, and the League of Nations."<sup>[38]</sup>

The Rockefeller Foundation and Sanger even supported birth-control movements in Germany.<sup>[39]</sup> But after decades of experimentations and flirting with Jewish ideologies, the WASP ruling class suddenly woke and realized that they don't have enough babies for the next generation.<sup>[40]</sup>

As it turned out, the Rockefellers had their own agendas to fulfill. David Rockefeller, the only survival grandchild of John D. Rockefeller, scornfully admits in his *Memoirs*:

"Some even believe we are part of a secret cabal working against the best interests of the United States, characterizing my family and me as 'internationalists' and of conspiring with others around the world to build a more integrated global political and economic structure – one world, if you will. If that's the charge, I stand guilty and I am proud of it."<sup>[41]</sup>

-----

Friedan ended up articulating a false dichotomy which can only be congruent in the mind of an intellectual Talmudist like herself. She opposed the Pornography Victims' Compensation Act by saying,

"To suppress free speech in the name of protecting women is dangerous and wrong. Even some blue-jean ads are insulting and denigrating. I'm not adverse to a boycott, but I don't think they should be suppressed."<sup>[42]</sup>

In other words, pornography means freedom and is compatible with free speech, and to suppress pornography is, well, "dangerous and wrong."

This ridiculous logic and double standard holds true only when intellectual Talmudists like Friedan are not in charge of the academia and politics. When they take over, freedom ceases to exist and people are persecuted for challenging Jewish control over history, the media, academic integrity, and intellectual honesty.

If you doubt this, take a look at what happened to David Irving and others, or go to Europe and try to challenge the Holocaust Establishment. Challenging the powers that be is illegal in countries such as Belgium, Austria, Bosnia, Czech Republic, France, Germany, Hungary, Lithuania, Netherlands, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Spain, Switzerland, Israel, etc.

If this is still questionable, see what happens to Edward Snowden. In the Jewish Century, working with despotic states such as Saudi Arabia<sup>[43]</sup> and spying on virtually the entire world, including allies,<sup>[44]</sup> is compatible with freedom and "fighting terrorism," even though many Americans tried to join terrorist groups such as the Syrian rebels with no repercussions,<sup>[45]</sup> and even though the NSA "poses a serious threat to our economy."<sup>[46]</sup>

But spying on the Jewish oligarchs is a forbidden territory. Not only that, ordinary Americans, according to government



officials, "have no right to challenge NSA phone surveillance."[\[47\]](#)



This Zionist argument—which has currently been propounded in various ways in Ari Shavit's book *My Promised Land: The Triumph and Tragedy of Israel*[\[48\]](#)—is certainly getting boring, so boring in fact that some officials are now having a second thought about Netanyahu's stupid claims such as Iran is capable of making five nuclear bombs.

The American people overwhelmingly "support an Iran nuclear deal 2 to 1."[\[49\]](#) Last year, a University of Maryland poll reported that 70 percent of Americans "preferred diplomacy with Iran to military strikes."[\[50\]](#)

That means that the vast majority of Americans are getting tired of perpetual wars. This is not without good reason, for virtually everyone knows that Netanyahu is obviously a political charlatan. Without batting an eye, Netanyahu declared just a few days before the West had an agreement with Iran,

"The Iranians already have five bombs' worth of low-enriched uranium," and the bombs could be built, according to Netanyahu's estimate, within a matter of weeks—not years![\[51\]](#)

While Netanyahu and neoconservative hawks such as Reuel Marc Gerecht and Mark Dubowitz have been regurgitating old lies,[\[52\]](#) policy analyst and former CIA official Paul R. Pillar has repeatedly said that diplomacy, not aggression, is the key to dealing with Iran.[\[53\]](#)

Things did not go well for Netanyahu and the Israeli regime when the international community reached an agreement with Iran, and both parties are pleased with the deal.[\[54\]](#) Keep in mind that Iran has been reaching out to the West since 9/11.[\[55\]](#)

Were the Israelis and neo-Bolsheviks and Zionists and Saudi Arabia happy? Of course not.

Prince Alwaleed bin Talal basically said that Obama was weak.[\[56\]](#) Jonathan S. Tobin of *Commentary* declared that the deal "will reward [Iran] for a decade of lies and deceptions and effectively normalize a rogue regime that continues to sponsor international terrorism and spew anti-Semitism while also starting the process of unraveling sanctions."[\[57\]](#)

Republican Jewish Coalition executive director Matt Brooks called Obama "naïve" and added,

"No matter what the specific provisions of the deal are, President Obama's diplomacy is giving sheer Tehran's rogue regime and causing alarm among our friends in the region...Congress and the American people need to speak out against this flawed deal."[\[58\]](#)



### Iran deal

Bill Kristol of the *Weekly Standard* quickly got to the point: "The Geneva Agreement is a defeat for the United States and the West."[\[59\]](#) After the agreement, Kristol said that he went back to read some of Winston Churchill writings about "unmitigated defeat..."[\[60\]](#)

I wish Kristol would also pick up Churchill's popular essay "Zionism vs. Bolshevism: A Struggle for the Soul of the Jewish People." I'd personally send him a copy if he is interested. I think he would immediately stop misreading Churchill and would instantly start calling him an anti-Semite (even though Churchill was a flaming Zionist).

Netanyahu called the deal "a historic mistake" because "the world became a much more dangerous place because the most dangerous regime in the world made a significant step in obtaining the most dangerous weapons in the world."[\[61\]](#)

One Netanyahu's official declared,

"This is a bad deal. It grants Iran exactly what it wanted – both a significant easing in sanctions and preservation of the most significant parts of its nuclear program."[\[62\]](#)

Israeli Defense Minister Moshe Ya'alon declared that a "surrender to the Iranian charm and smiles offensive, and to Iranian fraud, which is aimed at gaining time, without the Iranian nuclear program being substantially harmed."[\[63\]](#)

Israeli Finance Minister Yair Lapid was even more upset:



"You stand and shout out until you're blue in the face, and you try to understand why they're not listening. The world wanted

an agreement.” But one sentence later, Lapid contradicted himself by saying,

“We also said that a diplomatic accord would be good. A diplomatic accord is certainly better than war, a diplomatic accord is better than a situation of permanent confrontation – just not this agreement.”<sup>[64]</sup>

So which is it? He lambasted the international community for reaching a diplomatic agreement, but Lapid wanted “diplomatic accord”!

Israeli Civil Defense Minister Gilad Erdan seemed to suggest that Israel would have been happy with a military option. He said that the deal “makes it much more difficult, in the diplomatic sphere, to talk about a military option.”<sup>[65]</sup> Oops. Sorry, Erdan. The West is just tired of military menace. In fact, with the new deal, Europe sees economic relationship.<sup>[66]</sup>


Netanyahu himself declared,

“Israel is not committed by this agreement. The regime in Iran is committed to destroying Israel. And Israel has the right and the obligation to defend itself by itself from any threat.”<sup>[67]</sup>

**Now think about this for a moment. The Western world agrees to strike a deal with Iran, but Netanyahu “is not committed by this agreement.” In other words, Netanyahu does not represent the West. As British journalist Robert Fisk puts it, the deal actually isolates Israel and Netanyahu is alone.<sup>[68]</sup> Netanyahu only hypocritically applauds the West when the West follows him. If the West deviates from his official Zionist/Talmudic doctrine, then the West becomes his enemy.**

What if the West actually listened to Netanyahu and aggressively pushed Iran to the edge? Even after the deal was reached, the *Jerusalem Post* didn’t stop propagating nonsense, such as the following: “Iran planning to build 2 new nuclear power plants, official says.”<sup>[69]</sup>

Iran just stroke a deal with the international community and the same Iran is planning to build 2 new nuclear plants in order to upset the international community! This foolish argument can only work in the mind of the intellectual Talmudist.



In the end, Friedan ended up admitting the obvious:

“You know, some pornography certainly does degrade women. It also degrades men and it degrades sex. The pornography that pushes violence is particularly deplorable. But the forces that want to suppress pornography are not in favor of suppressing guns.”<sup>[70]</sup>

But pornography, under the umbrella of democratic freedom, has been articulated indirectly by many Jewish writers. One of the leading Jewish writers and journalists who ended up proving this point is Elizabeth Wurtzel, a Harvard and Yale graduate and author of *Bitch: In Praise of Difficult Women*, a book which has been cited approvingly by feminist apologists such as Bell Hooks.<sup>[71]</sup>

Having followed the sexual liberation which inexorably flowed from Wilhelm Reich’s promiscuous ideology and which got mutated into feminism, Wurtzel ended up admitting, “I am completely free, and as far as my life goes, I have all the power. In fact, I have turned thirty in an era when for the first time in history a woman can feel as unencumbered and unbound as I do. And yet, for all the power I command in not being some man’s dependent appendage, I generally walk around through life feeling pretty powerless.”<sup>[72]</sup>

Wurtzel knew very well that sexual ideology is powerful and can be used as a weapon to control people. Wurtzel called this “pussy power.” Wurtzel, of course, got that “pussy power” from primarily two sources. One of those sources is the story of Samson and Delilah. Wurtzel told us that Samson and Delilah “offer the first example of what we now call sexual politics.”

According to Wurtzel, Samson was so enthralled by this sexual politics that he became “enslaved to his dick” and, eventually, the undefeated Samson was defeated through sexual lusts which eventually and literally blinded him and cost him his life.<sup>[73]</sup>



**Laura Levitt**

Another indirect source is Wilhelm Reich, who postulated that “sexual life is not a private affair. The sexual restructuring of man, for the establishment of the capacity for full sexual pleasure, cannot be left to individual initiative but is a cardinal problem of all social existence...”

“The whole population must acquire the secure feeling that the revolutionary leadership is doing everything it can to guarantee sexual pleasure, without reservation, without any ifs and buts.”<sup>[74]</sup>

What Reich did not explicitly point out was that “the revolutionary leadership” which ended up controlling people through sexual politics was largely a Jewish project.<sup>[75]</sup>

The same "revolutionary leadership" ended up changing the intellectual and academic landscape in America, particularly when it came to the humanities. As Jewish feminist scholar Laura Levitt herself writes,

"By reading western canonical texts and writing about them, American Jewish intellectuals in the humanities reinvented Jewish study as a secular practice."<sup>[76]</sup>

Wurtzel ended up admitting in 2010, "As a people, we are hopeless Talmudists, we examine all the arguments and try to sort out an answer."<sup>[77]</sup> In a similar vein, Jewish writer Sidney Blumenthal declared that the neoconservative movement found its political and intellectual ideology "in the disputatious heritage of the Talmud."<sup>[78]</sup>

Here Wurtzel and Blumenthal indirectly bring an essential point: Jewish intellectuals (particularly revolutionaries), so long as they remain outside the moral order, will always end up in Talmudic discourse, which is another way of saying that they will always find themselves in conflict with the West. Levitt is another classic example. She writes in *Jews and Feminism*,

"I try to locate my physical homes in Atlanta and Philadelphia, my liberal Jewish home in America, and my academic homes within and between the disciplines of Jewish studies, feminist studies, and religion...

"Although throughout this book I am critical of these legacies, I do not abandon them, for I do not believe that that is possible....my parent's home, rabbinic, liberal Jewish, and liberal Jewish feminist Judaism[s] [still] remain part of the landscape I call home...the ideology of liberalism, and feminist study."<sup>[79]</sup>

<sup>[1]</sup> We will look at psychoanalysis probably after part II.

<sup>[2]</sup> See for example Laura Levitt, *Jews and Feminism: The Ambivalent Search for Home* (New York and London: Routledge, 1997).

<sup>[3]</sup> Quoted in Quoted in E. Michael Jones, *Libido Dominandi: Sexual Liberation and Political Control* (South Bend: St. Augustine's Press, 2000), 577.

<sup>[4]</sup> For further studies on similar issues, see for example Kate Millet, *Sexual Politics* (Champaign: University of Illinois Press, 2000).

<sup>[5]</sup> Andrea Dworkin, *Pornography: Men Possessing Women* (New York: Penguin Group, 1979), 199.

<sup>[6]</sup> Ariel Levy, "The Prisoner of Sex," *NY Magazine*, June 6, 2005.

<sup>[7]</sup> Ariel Levy, *Female Chauvinist Pigs: Women and the Rise of Raunch Culture* (New York: Free Press, 2005), 63-64.

<sup>[8]</sup> Dworkin, *Pornography*, 200.

<sup>[9]</sup> Ibid., 68.

<sup>[10]</sup> Ibid., 204.

<sup>[11]</sup> Quoted in Jones, *Libido Dominandi*, 26.

<sup>[12]</sup> Ibid., 24.

<sup>[13]</sup> Ernst Haeckel, *The Wonders of Life: A Popular Study of Biological Philosophy* (New York: Harper & Brothers, 1905), 326.

<sup>[14]</sup> Jones, *Libido Dominandi*, 24., 26.

<sup>[15]</sup> Ibid.

<sup>[16]</sup> See E. Michael Jones, *The Slaughter of Cities: Urban Renewal as Ethnic Cleansing* (South Bend: St. Augustine's Press, 2004).

<sup>[17]</sup> Barbara Smith, ed., *Home Girls: A Black Feminist Anthology* (New Brunswick: Rutgers University Press, 2000).

<sup>[18]</sup> Ethan Sacks, "Arnon Milchan, Producer Behind '12 Years as a Slave,' 'Pretty Woman,' and 'Noah,' Admits Double Life as Israeli Secret Agent," *NY Daily News*, November 22, 2013.

<sup>[19]</sup> Ibid.

<sup>[20]</sup> Quoted in Meir Joseph and Doron Gelman, *Confidential: The Double Life of Secret Agent Turned Hollywood Tycoon—Arnon Milchan* (New York: Twenty First Street Books, 2011), xi.

<sup>[21]</sup> <http://www.veteranstoday.com/2013/11/08/social-darwinism-einstein-and-determinism/>.

<sup>[22]</sup> For a decent work on this, see Angela Franks, *Margaret Sanger's Eugenic Legacy: The Control of Female Fertility* (Jefferson, NC: McFarland & Company, 2005).

<sup>[23]</sup> Quoted in *ibid.*, 44.

<sup>[24]</sup> Ibid.

<sup>[25]</sup> Cathy Moran Hajo, *Birth Control on Main Street: Organizing Clinics in the United States, 1916-1939* (Urbana: University of Illinois Press, 2010), 85.

<sup>[26]</sup> Franks, *Margaret Sanger's Eugenic Legacy*, 266.

<sup>[27]</sup> Madeline Grey, *Margaret Sanger: A Biography of the Champion of Birth Control* (New York: Richard Marek Publishers, 1979), 441.

<sup>[28]</sup> Margaret Sanger, *The Pivot of Civilization* (New York: Maxwell Reprint Company, 1969), 246, 271.

<sup>[29]</sup> George Grant, *Killer Angel: A Short Biography of Planned Parenthood's Founder Margaret Sanger* (Nashville: Cumberland House Publishing, 2001), 52.

<sup>[30]</sup> See for example Paul A. Robinson, *The Modernization of Sex: Havelock Ellis, Alfred Kinsey, William Masters* (New York: Cornell University Press, 1989).

<sup>[31]</sup> Donald de Marco and Benjamin Wiker, *Architects of the Culture of Death* (San Francisco: Ignatius Press, 2004), 294.

<sup>[32]</sup> Grey, *Margaret Sanger*, 249.

<sup>[33]</sup> Quoted in Grant, *Killer Angel*, 115.

<sup>[34]</sup> De Marco and Wiker, *Architects*, 287.

<sup>[35]</sup> For a good source on this, see Kenneth R. Timmerman, *Shakedown: Exposing the Real Jesse Jackson* (WA: Regnery Publishing, 2002).

<sup>[36]</sup> Quoted in Colman McCarthy, "Jackson's Reversal On Abortion," *Washington Post*, May 21, 1988.

<sup>[37]</sup> Quoted in Jones, *Libido Dominandi*, 436.

<sup>[38]</sup> Ron Chernow, *Titan: The Life of John D. Rockefeller, Sr.* (New York: Vintage Books, 1998), 626.

<sup>[39]</sup> Franks, *Margaret Sanger's Eugenic Legacy*, 46.

<sup>[40]</sup> See for example Sabrina Tavernise, "Whites Account for Under Half of Births in U.S.," *NY Times*, May 17, 2012; "Non-White Births Outnumber White Births for the First Time in US," *Telegraph*, May 17, 2012; "White Births in US No Longer a Majority," *Christian Science Monitor*, May 17, 2012; see also Philip Sherwell, "US Ethnic Minorities Make Up 49.9 Percent of Under-Fives," *Telegraph*, June 13, 2013.

<sup>[41]</sup> David Rockefeller, *Memoirs* (New York: Random House, 2002), 405.

<sup>[42]</sup> [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Betty\\_Friedan#cite\\_note-51](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Betty_Friedan#cite_note-51).

<sup>[43]</sup> For a recent development, see for example Dawit Giorgis and David Andrew Weinberg, "Saudi Arabia Doubles Down on Abuse," *National Interest*, November 22, 2013.

<sup>[44]</sup> For the latest development, see for example James Glanz, "United States Can Spy on Britons Despite Pact, N.S.A. Memo Says," *NY Times*, November 20, 2013.

<sup>[45]</sup> <http://www.indianexpress.com/news/us-says-many-americans-trying-to-join-syrian-rebels/1198070/>.

<sup>[46]</sup> Jim Sensenbrenner, "The NSA Overreach Poses a Serious Threat to Our Economy," *Guardian*, November 20, 2013; for more development on the NSA, see James Risen and Laura Poitras, "N.S.A. Report Outlined Goals for More Power," *NY Times*, November 22, 2013.

<sup>[47]</sup> Matt Sledge, "Government Claims Americans Have No Right To Challenge NSA Phone Surveillance," *Huffington Post*, November 22, 2013.

<sup>[48]</sup> Ari Shavit, *My Promised Land: The Triumph and Tragedy of Israel* (New York: Random House, 2013).

<sup>[49]</sup> Max Fisher, "Americans Support an Iran Nuclear Deal 2 to 1. That's a Big Deal," *Washington Post*, November 20, 2013.



[50] Ibid.

[51] John Glaser, "Netanyahu's Estimate for Iran Nuclear Breakout 'Sheer Nonsense,'" *Washington Times*, November 20, 2013.

[52] Reuel Marc Gerecht and Mark Dubowitz, "Iran Wants the Bomb—and Sanctions Relief," *Washington Post*, October 11, 2013.

[53] Paul R. Pillar, "Diplomacy is Key to a Deal with Iran," *Washington Post*, October 31, 2013.

[54] Michael R. Gordon, "Accord Reached With Iran to Halt Nuclear Program," *NY Times*, November 23, 2013; Paul Richter, "Iran Agrees to Nuclear Limits," *LA Times*, November 23, 2013; Kevin Baron, "Done Deal: Iran Agrees to Suspend Nuclear Program," *Atlantic*, November 24, 2013; Thomas Erdbrink, "Praise in Iran All the Way to the Top, Where Efforts Reportedly Preceded a President," *NY Times*, November 24, 2013; Scott Peterson, "All Sides Declare Victory in Historic Iran Nuclear Deal," *Christian Science Monitor*, November 24, 2013; Jason Rezaian, "Iran's Top Officials Hail Nuclear Deal as Beginning of New Era," *Washington Post*, November 24, 2013.

[55] See for example Trita Parsi, *Treacherous Alliance: The Secret Dealings of Israel, Iran, and the United States* (New Haven: Yale University Press, 2007); *A Single Roll of Dice: Obama's Diplomacy with Iran* (New Haven: Yale University Press, 2012).

[56] Matthew Kaminski, "Prince Alwheed bin Talal: An Ally Frets About American Retreat," *Wall Street Journal*, November 22, 2013.

[57] Jonathan S. Tobin, "Was There an Alternative to the Iran Deal?," *Commentary*, November 24, 2013.

[58] Quoted in Maya Shwayder, "J Street Welcomes Deal, WJC Calls Tehran's Promises Worthless," *Jerusalem Post*, November 24, 2013.

[59] Quoted in Daniel Halper, "Emergency Committee for Israel on Geneva Agreement: For Iran, a 'Pause that Refreshes,'" *Weekly Standard*, November 24, 2013.

[60] William Kristol, "75 Years Ago," *Weekly Standard*, November 24, 2013.

[61] Michele Chabin, "Israel Calls Iran Nuclear Deal a 'Historic Mistake,'" *USA Today*, November 24, 2013.

[62] "Israel Denounces Iranian Nuclear Deal, Says It Will Review Options," *Jerusalem Post*, November 24, 2013.

[63] Lahav Harkov and Yaakov Lappin, "Ya'alon: World Powers Surrendered to Tehran's Charm Offensive," *Jerusalem Post*, November 24, 2013.

[64] "Israel Denounces Iranian Nuclear Deal, Says It Will Review Options," *Jerusalem Post*, November 24, 2013.

[65] <http://news.yahoo.com/israel-denounces-iranian-nuclear-deal-historic-mistake-101521379.html>.

[66] Benjamin Weinthal, "Experts: Europe Will Benefit Economically from Iran Accord," *Jerusalem Post*, November 24, 2013.

[67] Ibid; see also Jodi Rudoren, "Israeli Leaders Denounce Geneva Accord," *NY Times*, November 24, 2013.

[68] Robert Fisk, "He May Huff and Puff but Benjamin Netanyahu Is on His Own Now as Nuclear Agreement Isolates Israel," *The Independent*, November 24, 2013.

[69] "Iran Planning to Build 2 New Nuclear Power Plants, Official Says," *Jerusalem Post*, November 23, 2013.

[70] Michael Jones, *Libido Dominandi*, 577-578.

[71] Bell Hooks, *Communion: The Female Search for Love* (New York: HarperCollins, 2002), 29, 148, 232.

[72] Quoted in Jones, *Libido Dominandi*, 511.

[73] Ibid., 510.

[74] Ibid., 513.

[75] <http://www.veteranstoday.com/2013/07/30/master-of-porn-the-systematic-promotion-of-sexual-deviance-part-i/>; <http://www.veteranstoday.com/2013/08/01/master-of-porn-systematic-promotion-of-sexual-deviance-part-ii/>; <http://www.veteranstoday.com/2013/10/25/prostitution-and-the-dreadful-few-part-iii/>.

[76] Laura Levitt, *Jews and Feminism: The Ambivalent Search for Home* (New York and London: Routledge, 1997), 8.

[77] Elizabeth Wurtzel, "Standing Against a Tide of Hatred," *Guardian*, January 16, 2009.

[78] Sidney Blumenthal, *The Rise of the Counter-Establishment: From Conservative Ideology to Political Power* (New York: HarperCollins, 1988), 124.

[79] Levitt, *Jews and Feminism*, 16.

<http://www.veteranstoday.com/2013/11/25/the-politically-incorrect-guide-to-zionist-ideology/>

## Harper Zionists seek to boost Canada thought crime law

By Brandon Martinez, Fri Apr 11, 2014 11:46AM GMT



**The Zionist ruling clique of Canada, through their front-man Stephen Harper, is seeking to beef up the already-existing Orwellian "hate propaganda" law which has been primarily used to curtail criticism of Zionists and Israel.**

The conspicuous change is buried in the Harper government's proposed cyberbullying law, Bill C-13.

The existing law in Canada's criminal code makes it illegal to "promote hatred" (whatever that means) of people "distinguished by colour, race, religion, ethnic origin or sexual orientation," explained *The Chronicle Herald*, but Bill C-13 intends to expand that category to include age, sex, mental or physical disability, and most disturbingly, "national origin." In other words, you cannot criticize anyone for any reason at all!

This means, say, if you condemn Israelis for their inhumane treatment of Palestinians, you could find yourself in court facing down the self-appointed thought police and commissars of political correctness.

The ... law against "hate speech" is illegitimate and ridiculous to begin with. The idea of allowing a government to legislate against opinions and feelings is patently absurd – it is pulled right out of George Orwell's dystopian classic 1984.

British Columbia native Arthur Topham has felt the wrath of Canada's censorious establishment. In November 2012, at the instigation of the Zionist society of B'nai B'rith, Topham was charged with a 'hate crime' for publishing anti-Zionist articles on his website Radical Press.

One of the items on Topham's site that made the Zionists convulse and contort with unrestrained anger and rage was a satire called *Israel Must Perish*. The text was nothing more than a spoof of a 1941 book authored by a Zionist ... named Theodore Kaufman entitled *Germany Must Perish*! In that text Kaufman called for "a final solution" of German extinction. Topham merely substituted the words "German," "Germany" and "Nazi" with "Israel," "Jew" and "Zionist" throughout the text. Despite writing a clearly-worded preface explaining the satirical nature of the text, Topham was arrested by the RCMP and now faces the possibility of spending up to two years behind bars for violating Zionist sensibilities.

Many will recall the sad saga of German-Canadian publisher Ernst Zundel. In the mid-1980s Zundel was charged with

"spreading false news" after he published a book, *Did Six Million Really Die?*, which questioned some aspects of the official "holocaust" story. In the ensuing show trial, Zundel and his team of revisionist historians as well as his indefatigable defence lawyer Douglas Christie brought the holocaust lobby to its knees with facts and information refuting many claims made by Zionists about Germany's WW2 concentration camps. Over the span of three decades, Zundel was dragged from courtroom to courtroom, from jail cell to jail cell, merely for expressing a viewpoint deemed verboten by the ... Zionist establishment – the self-appointed architects of public discourse, the self-declared arbiters of truth and morality, the self-proclaimed "chosen people" whose faults are unseen and whose character is unimpeachable.

Zundel, a self-described pacifist with no criminal record, was physically assaulted on numerous occasions by Jewish Defence League thugs. His Toronto home, which also housed his publishing and graphic arts businesses, was bombed and torched by Zionist terrorists. He received death threats on a daily basis from members of the "chosen race of God," but the Toronto Police did almost nothing to prevent any of it and was entirely uninterested in pursuing the criminals and thugs responsible for the campaign of terror against Zundel and his associates.

Zundel's story is a testament to the power and control of Jewish extremists in Canada, whose agenda is anything but altruistic and whose disposition is more racist than the Klan.

In the "New World Order" being imposed on us by self-interested, ethnocentric megalomaniacs, no man has the right to explore, investigate and come to his own conclusions about history -- that is the sole responsibility of the tyrannical monarchs of the NWO, who tell us what and how to think; free thought be damned.

BM/AB

This is an excellent summary of what happens in a western democracy legally controlled by Zionists.

After the Canadian Supreme Court overturned the law in 1993, the Zionists developed a Human Rights tribunal where truth is no defence and Zündel left and applied for US citizenship. Owing to Zionist corruption of the US bureaucracy he was deported back to Canada to spend two years in prison before

being deported to Germany where he spent another five years in prison under the "defaming the memory of the dead" law.

This notorious German Holocaust Law does not offer a defence to anyone charged under it, and if a defence counsel dares to mount a challenge, then he is also charged under the same law.

Iran focused on this law because it makes a mockery of German/European legal practices. Zionists attack Iran for locking up people without due process, which is false - but Germany locks up people without offering them a defence and then it calls itself democratic.

\*\*\*

**AI Comments:** This is an excellent summary of what happens in a western country that calls itself free and democratic but is essentially legally controlled by the Zionist mindset.

After winning his "false news" trial and having this law overturned in 1993 by the Canadian Supreme Court, the Zionists developed a Human Rights tribunal system where truth is no defence. Zundel took off for the USA and applied for citizenship, but the US bureaucracy cooperated with the Zionists and found a legal pretext to deport him back to Canada where he spent two years in prison before being deported to Germany where he spent another five years under the "defaming the memory of the dead" law. This is the famous Holocaust Law that does not offer a defence to anyone charged under it. If a defence counsel dares to mount a challenge, then he is also charged under the same law. It was the Islamic Republic of Iran that continued to focus on this law because it makes a mockery of German/European justicial practices. The world Zionist forces attack Iran for locking up people without due process, which is false - but Germany locks up people without offering them a defence and then it calls itself democratic.

<http://www.presstv.ir/detail/2014/04/11/358120/zionists-to-boost-thought-crime-law/>

## Will a Syrian victory at a posh London auction house accelerate Global Cultural Protections?

**Franklin Lamb – National Museum, Damascus**

Over the past three years not many victories in Syria have been witnessed by this observer. Indeed some developments have even brought to mind Plutarch's description of the Greek King [Pyrrhus](#)' defeat of the Roman legions some while back. But an achievement by the Syrian government and its people on 4/3/14 in an auction house in London is neither Pyrrhic, nor of the 'Another such victory and I am undone' variety.

The case involves an ancient black basalt *stele* (a stone or wooden slab, generally taller than it is wide, erected as a monument, very often for funerary or commemorative purposes). The artifact is of the Assyrian king Adad-Nerari III, who ruled Syria 2,800 years ago. With a weight of 830 kg, it measures 137.5 cm high, by 75 cm wide by 27 cm in depth. Many Syrian and international antiquities specialists believe it was stolen from Syria in 2000 after standing for nearly three thousand years in the temple of the god Sulmanu, in the ancient city of Dur Katlimmu, now known as Tell Sheikh Hamad. The tell is situated near the historic Khabour River between Hasaka and Deir al-Zour in eastern Syria, not far from Palmyra which this observer has visited recently.

Recently the object appeared in the possession of the British auction house, Bonhoms, a development that caused angst among archeologists in Syria and internationally. Exactly what happened next is a bit unclear, but the legal/political case was encapsulated in an urgent letter addressed to Dr. Maamoun

Abkulkarem, the indefatigable Director-General of Antiquities and Museums (DGAM) in Syria's Ministry of Culture, from a correspondent in Berlin. The letter arrived at DGAM on March 23, 2014.

"Dear Dr. Maamoun,

In the attachment I send you documentation on the stele of Tell Sheikh Hamad which is being offered for sale at Bonhams Auction house in London for April 3, 2014. According to my information UNESCO has already informed your government about this case. The only way to prohibit it from being sold is that your government responds to UNESCO, addresses Interpol, and request an investigation by the London police.

May I urge you Sir to inform your government quickly and act respectively before April 3!

Please note also this:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=FVucfdFWTdc>

Yours sincerely,"

(Privacy of signer respected)

Dr. Maamoun and his dedicated Syrian nationalist team have been working nonstop (and some without pay for more than two years) to preserve, protect and plan for reconstruction of Syria's, and by extension the world's, cultural heritage. They and others are committed to stopping archeological theft, a phenomenon which has become more rampant since the current crisis erupted. The thefts have not been restricted

solely to the rebel-held north or other areas not always under government control; they have also been a problem near Syria's borders with Turkey, Jordan, and Lebanon, and to a lesser extent Iraq, and in some cases, stolen treasures have also been smuggled out of Syria by aircraft.

Despite these crimes, the past few weeks have seen commendable cooperation between Lebanon and Syria leading to hundreds of Syrian antiquities being returned to Syria. On Syrian and Lebanese roads these days, soldiers at the frequent checkpoints not only look for explosives, wanted persons, and weapons, but they have orders at Syrian-Lebanon borders to search for more than 4000 stolen Syrian antiquities. A few hundred objects were returned to Syria this past year, and some are back on display in the garden of the National Museum in Damascus, where this observer photographed them.

Unfortunately there has been little, if any, help in stopping the flow of stolen Syrian antiquities into Jordan or Turkey, whose governments reportedly continue to turn a blind eye, ignoring their international obligations for reasons of politics and profit. In the case of Jordan, it has been widely alleged that King Abdullah's government is condoning shipments of stolen Syrian artifacts, via Israeli drug and antiquities mafia operations.



**An international campaign is being launched to save our Global Culture Heritage in the custody of the people of the Syrian Arab Republic**

These international criminal enterprises then forward the global cultural treasures from Israeli ports and Tel Aviv airport to lucrative international markets—museums, auction houses, or private collectors in New York, London, Switzerland, Germany, Spain and elsewhere. With respect to Turkey, much of the 500 mile border is open to excavation teams sent in to strip Syria of her archeological treasures, again with widespread charges of Turkish government involvement.



Two DGAM staff members and scholars, Khaled and Iyam, explaining to a Damascus National Museum visitor details of a dozen recently returned (3/2014) Syrian antiquities with the sisterly cooperation of the government of Lebanon

The lower part of the stele of Adad-Nerari III is now at Bonhams auction house, where it was scheduled to be sold on 4/3/14, though initially the artifact came to public notice in 2000 at Christie's auction house. The two houses are often competitors, but increasingly have become collaborators, as they witness a flood of stolen Syrian antiquities available to

them and their clients. They and other auction houses, museums and dealers sometimes employ means to deceive prospective private purchasers, other museums, governments, and police agencies. One tactic is to obfuscate provenance and source of the particular Syrian antiquity.

The evidence for the date of removal from Syria of the stele of Adad-Nerari III is not flimsy. The report of 19<sup>th</sup> century archaeologist Hormuzd Rassam admits that he was not able to find it during his investigations in 1879. He reported that the upper part, which he sent to the British Museum, had been removed by local villagers from the area of a "venerated grave on top of the mound," so that its pagan presence would not defile the grave. Rassam, quite correctly as it turned out, believed that the lower part of the King's statue was still buried on top of the mound near the grave but the gentleman died before he could return to excavate it.

Both Bonhams and Christies sale notices state that the lower part of the stele was in the possession of the seller's father by the 1960's. This was a patently false representation by both houses.

For the 2014 Bonham's sale, provenance is listed as "Private collection, Geneva, Switzerland, given as a gift from father to son in the 1960s." This is also false, and neither auction house provided any documentation for the ownership history. In point of fact, the stele is not mentioned in any publication prior to its listing by Christies in 2000. The complete publication, by A. K. Grayson, of the royal inscriptions of King Adad-Nerari III appeared in 1996, and all Grayson does is list the upper (British Museum) part of the stele. He makes no mention of the lower part. Publications in this series include every known inscription of each Syrian king.

This observer submits that if any scholar had seen the stele prior to 1996, it would have been listed in the 1996 publication. Furthermore, it is extremely unlikely that an inscription of this importance would not have become known to scholars, since it is well known even among the general public that owners of inscribed monuments, especially ones of this value and size, quite naturally seek scholarly opinions about their property.

Moreover, probative and material evidence is found in a report from the current director of excavations at Tell Sheikh Hamad, Prof. Dr. Hartmut Kühne, of the Freie Universität, Berlin. Dr. Huhne has directed survey and excavations at the site of Tell Sheikh Hamad in cooperation with DGAM since 1978. According to the professor, this is the only excavation at this site that has been authorized by the Syrian government. On 25 September 1999, Prof. Kühne sent a report to DGAM stating that some unknown person excavated illegally on top of the mound, near the venerated grave, during the night of 14 September 1999. Prof. Kühne provided photos of the looter excavations and he opined that the looter pit is just large enough to have contained the lower part of the stele. Prof. Kühne notes that the German mission was not excavating on the mound in 1999, and in fact had not worked there since 1988.

Last but not least, the location of the 1999 looter pits on top of the mound is precisely where Rassam, back in the 19<sup>th</sup> century, wrote that the lower part was buried. The first announcement of the existence of the stele, as noted above, was at the 2000 Christie's sale—less than a year after the reported looting incident at Tell Sheikh Hamad!

This observer submits that there is adequate Syrian law and international law and British law on the books, if applied, to makes things a bit tough legally for the auction houses of Bonhom and Christie and many others. Their lawyers apparently agree. It's as though the Assyrian King might yet exact some sort of revenge on them from his grave. Or wherever the gentleman might be these days given local lore from the Tell Sheikh Hamad area.

Public awareness was raised with respect to this archeological criminal case by the people and government of Syria and others, and an international campaign mobilizing public opinion has ensued. The Directorate General of Antiquities and Museums (DGAM) of the Syrian Ministry of Culture urged their



colleagues at the Syrian Ministry of Interior, the Syrian Department of Criminal Security, and Interpol to "work to stop the sale of the piece and return it to Syria." As reported by Nadine Kaanan, the Saade Institute created a video entitled "*Stop the theft and sale of Syrian antiquities*," in which it urges that "all necessary legal measures be taken to return this important monument to Syria when security conditions permit." The institute said it had decided to raise its voice to "preserve our countries' artifacts and the story of human history, and also out of respect for the laws of the United Nations and for the sake of Lebanon, Syria and Iraq."

Long story made short, King Adad-Nerari III's rare stele, prominently displayed in Bonhams auction house—with more than a few museums and investors interested in buying it, suddenly was stamped in Bonhams to be sold catalog: "*Withdrawn*." Some in attendance were not happy, and Bonhams administrative office is 'holding consultations' this week in light of expected public and trade journal reactions. Bonhams had planned to net around 1.3 million USD had the sale taken place.

Protecting the memory of King Adad-Nerari and preserving his place in the world's cultural heritage may appear a modest victory given the nearly unimaginable suffering imposed daily

on the people of the proud Syrian Arab Republic. But what happened to stop one auction house—from selling one stolen Syrian antiquity—was made possible by the people of Syria and others of good will who greatly value our Global Cultural Heritage. Hopefully, as international public awareness continues to increase about this aspect of the conflict in Syria, this case will enter the law books; maybe also it will result in legal statutes and, consequently, a major advance toward preserving our Global Cultural Heritage.

May the people of Syria achieve many more such victories while ending this painful chapter in this ten millennia old Cradle of Civilization.

**Mr. Lamb has been doing research in Syria visiting, where and when possible, various locals across Syria and assessing damage to the seven UNESCO Global Heritage sites while emphasizing the key role of international law and transnational public cooperation to preserve protect and restore our Global Cultural Heritage. He is reachable c/o [fpamb@gmail.com](mailto:fpamb@gmail.com)**



## Like most Victorian barristers, my liability is limited by a scheme approved under Professional Standards Legislation. Private prosecution of PM for treason leads to vexatious litigant status

October 18th, 2007

It took an awfully long time — almost 15,000 words — for Justice Hansen to state the bleeding obvious in Attorney-General for the *State of Victoria v Shaw* [2007] VSC 1148, but in the circumstances, I well understand why his Honour desired to appeal-proof his judgment. Mr Shaw, who as a newly appointed vexatious litigant, must obtain leave of the Supreme Court before commencing proceedings, may be Victoria's most unsuccessful litigant. He unsuccessfully sued (in many cases as private prosecutor of serious criminal offences) the Chief Magistrate, Masters Wheeler, Evans, Kings and Cain and Justice Smith of the Supreme Court, the Court of Appeal's President Winneke, Justices of Appeal Brooking, Charles, Buchanan, and Chernov, the High Court's Justices Kirby, Callinan, Crennan, Gummow, Heydon, Gleeson, and Hayne, the Commonwealth Governor-General, the Victorian and Commonwealth Directors of Public Prosecutions, the Grand Master of the United Grand Lodge of Victoria, Rob Hulls and the Attorney-Generals of Tasmania and NSW, and Julia Gillard. He sued Kim Beazley and John Howard for treason and misprision of treason. He had been declared vexatious already in Western Australia, and took to suing a similar cast of Western Australians in Victorian courts — for an enumeration of these actions, see the judgment...

Of course there were the predictable forms of hopeful litigation, such as the defence of speeding charges on the bases that 'the Magistrate's [sic.] Court at Werribee was never legally Constituted,' because Victoria does not have a valid Constitution,' and 'The Queen of England has abdicated her role as DEFENDER OF THE FAITH, that faith being Christian, by abdicating to the foreign power of Freemasonry'. But one must admire the *chutzpah* in the attempt to convoke a grand jury under s. 354 of the *Crimes Act, 1958* to rule on Mr Shaw's arguments that:

(a) a Freemason conspiracy has corrupted the judiciary and the court process in Victoria;

(b) there is currently an illegal conspiracy, already commenced in Western Australia, to fracture the Commonwealth of Australia and create a republic in its place; and

(c) the Victorian Constitution is invalid and enacted without legal authority.

I have long been intrigued by the name of my fellow member of the Victorian Bar, Dr John Francis Patrick Cyril Colclough [Walsh of Brannagh](#). I looked him up for the first time this evening, and found that he is the one-time Chancellor of the [Greenwich University](#) on Norfolk Island, set up by the *Greenwich University Act 1998 (Norfolk Island)*. Dr Walsh, Mr Shaw's counsel, made the following submission to Justice Hansen:

'What Mr Shaw wants to do is to have these matters aired and the simplest way to get rid of this man who is a farmer is to have the matters heard before a jury and if the charges have no substance, if they are hopeless, if they lack merit as my learned friend puts it, the jury will see this quite clearly. Charges will be dismissed, the defendants will be free to go and Mr Shaw will go back to his farming activities, but until that day happens he is concerned.'

It was faintly reminiscent, I thought, of this passage commenting on the client from the memorandum to counsel of one of the allegedly negligent solicitors in *Arthur J.S. Hall & Co (a firm) v Simons*, the English case which abolished advocates' immunity:

'Cockbone is a typical farmer. He lives in cloud cuckoo land and will say whatever comes into his head at that particular moment. . . . The inadequacy and superficiality of these instructions is greatly regretted . . . Please conduct the case simply to the best of your ability with the information at your disposal. We are well aware it is less than adequate.'

**SEE ALSO:**

[Never before, never again: Chief Justice of Norfolk Island gets a qiq in the Victorian Court of Appeal](#)

[Twenty-seven year old proceeding dismissed for want of prosecution](#)

[A fantastic criminal law web resource: John Stratton's site](#)

[Weird contribution claims](#)

[Juries in civil cases](#)

### [Comments \(13\)](#)

Wolter Joosse

[31 March, 2010](#)

Dear Sir,

without prejudice and in answer to your comments re: Mr Shaw, I would like to leave the comments and questions below for your subscribers:

In view of your understanding of this matter, it strikes me as odd that you fail to comment on the fact that the removal of the Crown by any State or Federal Legislature constitutes irrefutably an act of treason. Attempting to conceal treason, that is to say, being notified of the fact, constitutes misprision of treason. But since you claim to understand law and charge for legal advice, I guess I need not explain that to you.

Was it not odd, that the Chief Magistrate allowed some of the defendants, the DPP of the Commonwealth and of the State, to take over their own prosecution? Was that decision not the most unthinkable and unforgiving decision of any judge or magistrate in the jurisprudence of the State or Commonwealth?

It is suprising that you failed to comment on this, as indeed you failed to comment on the direction of the Chief Magistrate to Mr Shaw not to bring the Constitution [Law] into his court. It also appears to have slipped your notice that in matters of treason the DPP is prohibited by statute from taking over the prosecution, let alone when they are the defendants themselves.

Furthermore it must have slipped your attention that one qualifies automatically for a Grand Jury application when a court fails the prosecute. Grand Jury is a remedy and safeguard for the people when facing a court that is not independent and dispenses with law. One will never see a more fitting situation as the one that unfolded on 15 December 2006 in the Magistrate's Court, Melbourne.

To declare a person vexatious when knowing that no evidence had been led is yet another amazing decision, and is obviously an attempt by the 'boys club' to ensure that none of its members will appear before a Grand Jury.

In regards to Mr Shaw's claim, rightly or wrongly, regarding Freemasons, the record shows, or ought to, that Justice Hanson refused to allow witnesses to give evidence on oath and refused to view video evidence. Both Justice Hanson and the Attorney representing the Attorney-General, accused himself of treason, accepted that the oaths of Freemason were those which had been submitted by Mr Shaw. In doing so, it is strange that whilst these qualify under the Criminal Code as 'unlawful oaths' Mr Shaw was deemed to be vexatious. In truth and reality this is deemed dispensing with a law and aiding and abetting the offenders.

In regards to Mr Shaw's claim that Victoria has no validly constituted courts, maybe you wish to advice the readers of your blog from where the Victorian Legislature obtained lawful authority to repeal an Act of the Parliament at Westminster, the Victorian Constitution 1855, and from where it derived the power to create its own constitution without consent of the people, when authority was only granted to repeal, alter, or vary any or all clauses under the 1855 Act and to place others in lieu thereof. That is to say within the same Act. Or explain how the queen of Australia replaced the Queen of the UK under the ultra vires Victorian Constitution Act, 1975 when it never enacted a Royal Style & Titles Act of its own, and could not since it was subject to the Colonial Laws Validity Act 1865. Or whilst the Office of Governor was and remained under the Letters Patent and Instructions 1900 & 1913 of the Queen of the UK. That is the Queen in [Divine] Right at Westminster, and the Queen to whom all Australians owe allegiance pursuant to Covering Clause Five and the Schedule of the Constitution Act 1900. It might have slipped your attention that at exactly the same time & date as the ultra vires

Australia Acts, fresh Letters Patents were issued under the hand of the Queen in the sovereignty of the United Kingdom. It goes without saying that the Governors of the States could hardly claim to be acting in the name of the fictional queen of Australia whilst under Letters Patent and Instructions of, and occupying the Office of Governor to the Queen of the United Kingdom.

Maybe you ought to acquaint yourself with the introduction of the Royal Styles Bill (1953) in Hansard by PM Menzies (as he was then) and learn that the title "Queen of Australia" was designed only for use amongst Commonwealth nations for the 'Head of the Commonwealth' and not for the Queen under our constitutions. PM Menzies reminded the House that covering clause Two and section 8 of the Statute of Westminster prohibited the Queen under the constitutions to be altered by the Australian Legislature.

I suggest I could go on further and remind you of s.42 of the Federal Constitution and the fact that a foreign oath, not the one mandated under the Constitution, was administered and subscribed by members of Parliament, rendering all ineligible to take their seat in Parliament and make all liable to a charge of treason. Or the fact that governments in Australia are acting as corporate republics without the consent of the people, or that there cannot be any Australian 'citizens' because the power to define or deal with an Australian 'citizen' had been explicitly denied to the Parliament by the Framers following a motion by Dr John Quick . of Quick & Garran fame, seeking that power at the Third Melbourne Convention 1898, or the fact that the Australian British subjects enjoy the guaranteed protection of the Coronation Oath and Contract which mandates, that they are to be governed in accordance to the Laws of God and the Natural Law.

In view of the fact, that you were so outspoken in regards to Mr Shaw and Sir John Walsh of Brannagh, I believe that it is appropriate that you provide your readers with answers to the above, based on law. Failing to do so shall be taken and construed that you cannot provide answers that are founded on law, and accept that our system is ungodly, treasonous, and that the queen and government have abdicated government by operation of law on grounds of breach of contract.

It should also be mentioned that since you have been made aware of the various constitutional problems, the dispensing of law, the removal of the Crown (even under the Legal Practice Act the oath of allegiance has been removed) that you are required by law to act. Failing to act would make you liable to a charge of misprision.

I look forward to your learned comments

Wolter Joosse

\*\*\*

[John Groves](#)

[28 June, 2010](#)

Australian's have a Right to know to have theses matters swept under the carpet is also treason.

\*\*\*

[Niven Neyland](#)

[30 June, 2010](#)

I think it is clear, having now had three months to respond to Walter Joosse, that Mr Warne is either still in the legal books and journals searching for a response; not able to find a suitable challenge to the comments: or, runs his blog purely to make money and ridicule the seemingly defenceless.

\*\*\*

[Rudi III](#)

[1 July, 2010](#)

It is clear from Mr Warne's inability or refusal to refute the irrefutable arguments of Mr Joosse that Mr Warne is a freemason who believes in and with every word he utters engages in treason.

All of the money he makes from this blog, which no doubt runs into the millions, should be seized forthwith and held in a sty until Mr Warne renounces his treason and wears a pink mohair jumper to Court, though a true Court and not the illegal ones we have in Australia.

Rudi III of the Republic of Winkie Wee Wollop and Dollop

\*\*\*

Wolter Joosse

[5 July, 2010](#)

Dear Mr Warne, I am personally also disappointed not to have received a response from you and must question the purpose of your site [and personal comments].

Could you at least provide for your readers a definition of what constitutes an act of treason.

Also could you explain by what lawful process the Legislature of Victoria removed Grand Jury applications. Members elected to the Parliament have an inherent duty of care, as trustees and delegates, to uphold the rights of the people and protect them from the rogue courts and judges and or de facto authority. No clearer evidence of a court dispensing with law was more evident than the Magistrates' Court Melbourne on 15 December 2006 when the Chief Magistrate allowed the accused to take over their own prosecution, only not to have them lead any evidence [that would convict them]. The Chief Magistrate also instructed Mr Shaw 'not to bring the Constitution [ie law] into his court'. No member of Parliament could claim to have a mandate to vote for the repeal of Grand Jury and thereby deny the people their independent court to deal with situations described above. Each and every member would surely be liable to action and a claim for damages. Your learned views would be most welcome.

Wolter Joosse

\*\*\*

Erik Ceslis

[7 July, 2010](#)

Am I correct in saying that our new Prime Minister should have stood down from her parliamentary position once she was charged with treason in the Melbourne Magistrate Court according to Commonwealth Law? Why is she still there? Why aren't all the media asking this question? If what Mr. Shaw is saying is incorrect why is the judiciary ducking and weaving, it appears as though they are a law unto themselves, and the rest of us plebs are excrement below their feet. This surely does "stink a rat", we out there aren't stupid Mr. Warne, you are just another person residing in our midst who is part of a fraternity who obviously consider themselves masters rather than servants of the people, shame on you all!!! Keep up the good work Brian, these treacherous tyrants ought to be exposed for what they are trying to establish.

Erik Ceslis

Managing Director

Cescom Enterprises Pty Ltd

\*\*\*

Ian Heatherich

[14 July, 2010](#)

Stephen,

One would think by your blog title;

"Stephen Warne on professional negligence, regulation and discipline around the world"

that the issues raised above, require at least a response, or is the title actually referring to your own 'professional negligence, regulation and discipline around the world'?

Come on mate, think back to the reasons that ORIGINALLY prompted you to get into law, (assuming that they were not ALL financially driven) were any of those reasons to stand up for the rights of your fellow Australians?

Your non-response only further solidifies the disrespect I have for 90% of lawyers.

Ian Heatherich

Australian

\*\*\*

Name

[8 October, 2010](#)

Dear Sir,

Firstly thank you for your blog. Due to the subject matter in this entry being raised by inference in the more recent Peter Spencer affair I thought I would check back here to see if you had responded to the issues raised in comments left by one "Wolter Joosse".

You can of course imagine my surprise to find that your site is now proclaiming 'There are no comments posted yet. Be the first one!'

Thus I am left wondering as to what may have occurred...

Does your site currently have a technical problem preventing display of comments?

I suppose the answer to this will become obvious if this is posted...

Did your site have a technical problem and the restore of those comments from backups failed?

In this case I may be able to post the questions as put so that the discussion can continue...

Has some external entity required removal of those comments or have you chosen to censor those comments due to the nature of the questions asked? If so why?

Kindest Regards

AB

\*\*\*

AB

[14 October, 2010](#)

Dear Sir,

How very droll...

As one who prefers not to ass-u-me anything and since you have asserted "I did not delete them, and I am unaware of any glitches.", maybe, given the complex nature of the technology at hand, further investigation via a simple application of Hanlon's razor might indicate either you or your webmaster has simply, "seemingly" accidentally, turned the public display of those comments off...

Regardless, I would like to thank you for your statement in the article "the chutzpah in the attempt to convoke a grand jury" which led me to investigate matters concerning jurisdiction and I now have a much better understanding of why the law of the land (our constitution) can and is held in contempt within Australian Courts when said Court operates under 'Admiralty' jurisdiction and for this I am truly grateful.

I wish you well in your ongoing adventures in the fictional world of legalese and once again thank you for your time and effort.

Goodbye and God bless.

AB

\*\*\*

de jure

[8 December, 2010](#)

Hi There,

The old "vexatious litigant" trick hey ? Ripper - no one saw that coming. Brian Shaw is an Australian Hero. Brave enough to do your job for you. Who do you serve? The People of Australia or the Liar Society (did I spell that wrong?). If you had the courage to honor your oaths (except the Victorians - we all know you don't take them) then it would not be necessary for Brian to do it for you.

I suspect what troubles you the most is his courage and his display of virtue must surely hold a mirror up to the collection of weaklings and sell outs known as the BA (there goes that spelling again!).

You can only "attempt" to stand between Gillard and friends and their date with destiny (a grand jury to dumbies like the public) - that IS going to happen. You see the illusion just isn't that magical anymore.

Actually on reflection there may be a course of action left for you - grow some ball and do your job - who knows - you might earn some respect - however unlikely that may seem.

Yours in Truth and Honor J

\*\*\*

de jure

[9 December, 2010](#)

Hello again,

I was just wanting a legal opinion - Is this vexatious as well 😊 I think corrupt judiciary should probably replace there wigs with crash helmets - but what would I know..... right?

The unlawfully corporation known as "The Commonwealth of Australia" has been served in the High court of Australia! ....

Yours in Truth and Honor J



\*\*\*

LMAO

[24 January, 2011](#)

It's pretty hilarious that some one would demand that Mr. Warne respond to a response to a three year old blog entry. If you want Warne to take the time to respond to your ridiculous comment, I suggest you pay his going rate.

\*\*\*

Anon

[28 February, 2011](#)

hmm

\*\*\*

[Schorel-Hlavka](#)

[21 December, 2011](#)

As a CONSTITUTIONALIST it appears to me that Stephen Warne as a legal practitioner thinks he knows it all. It is not that I agreed with Shaw about everything, as I made then already clear, however If Stephen Marne as a legal practitioner doesn't even know and/or understand that the purported Victorian Constitution Act 1975 is unconstitutional this as since federation all colonies now within s106 being States lost their colonial sovereign Parliaments and now only have constitutional Parliaments who cannot amend their own constitutions but require a State referendum to approve a proposed amendment to the State constitution then who really is the fool, I may ask?

Lawyers are so smart that when both parties are represented by lawyers 50% of the lawyers are in the wrong!

\*\*\*

[Schorel-Hlavka](#)

[21 December, 2011](#)

Lawyers are so smart that when they signed up with an internet provider such as iiNet then the terms of agreement includes disclosure to Authorities and so there goes their client-solicitor confidentiality! (And as I pointed out to iiNet the legislation it referred to didn't even exist! iiNet later acknowledged it was wrong!.)

Declaring a person to be a vexatious litigant (justified or not) isn't going to resolve the issues at hand, if there are any. I may not have agreed with Shaw as to how he went about matters but it doesn't mean he was totally and utterly wrong on all points and I view Stephen Warne as a legal practitioner rather should have had concerns that critical issues such as a State constitution may indeed be ULTRA VIRES and should have been appropriately explored in litigation.

\*\*\*

[Schorel-Hlavka](#)

[21 December, 2011](#)

As in the Closimo case where there were more than 20 lawyers involved against him and he was also represented by a barrister, finding to be placed under administration upon medical evidence and already been through 5 contempt hearings, that when I took over the case I showed that all the lawyers involved never had attended to the issue that Mr Colosimo was never even formally charged with contempt! More over, the medical evidence was based upon that Mr Closimo refused to accept being convicted for contempt (which never eventuated!) and this may just underline that and for this was placed under administration! Well, I got rid of all orders against Mr Colosimo and did so free of charge! Mr Stephen Warne you may know a lot about legislation but it appears to me nothing or about next to nothing about constitutional matters and I understand Shaw based his case upon constitutional issues. I had no input in the Saw case but

well aware some of his issues had constitutional founded arguments.

\*\*\*

Mike Hodgens Ph 07-47741355

[10 February, 2013](#)

Wolter,

Can you send me your ph no or email address? I have tried to send you a full report on legal proceedings I am taking on behalf of the fishing industry but this system is not sending it on and I'm not typing this out again. All this bullshit about spam being stopped by wordpress is wasting everybody's time. This may not go to you in which case that's all the time I'm wasting on this nonsense.

\*\*\*

frank perry

[10 months ago](#)

Having thoroughly researched all of this, and can I say how much people tried to prevent me from getting the information in a suitable format for any blind persons, I am amazed. I am not a lawyer, I've never studied law formally, before I went blind I was an insurance investigator. I knew enough law to know what I had to do to investigate a claim that was it. But I heard things. I heard about masons, even though it was suppose to be some secret society and whatever else. It's taken me 2 years to find what Brian was saying in a format which I can read as a blind person. I will go on record and say that blindless agencies and government departments didn't look after my constitutional rites as a blind person and go out of their way and provide me with the information in an accessible format. I could easily have got it as a fully sighted person when I had full sight, but the reluctance to put certain documents in alternative formats was astounding. So after 2 years of exhaustive research, I want Brian to contact me. I want to take up Brian's case in my name. I'll pay for everything. Brian, if you're out there, call me 0434 503 607. Email me at [frankperry2011@gmail.com](mailto:frankperry2011@gmail.com)

\*\*\*

Liz Someone

[7 months ago](#)

Here is my question, and its a very serious one. Is someone paying you to write this?

You haven't been able to refute any of Brian Shaw's claims. Simply stating that someone is a 'vexatious litigant' won't make the evidence of treason magically disappear. Either refute the evidence that is still tied up in the court system, or admit that you are trying to smear a man who is standing up to a corrupt corporate system which no longer represents the interests of the Australian people.

\*\*\*

Geoffreyreplied:

[6 months ago](#)

Liz

Well spotted.

However, to confront a solicitor and expect commitment and honesty in a response is unreasonable.

Even in a Court of their Law they tell "little white lies from the Bar table". Howz that? Why? You ask. Answer: Because they can and they know they can get away with it. Jie Fu Kwan Wu; Author of Without Prejudice, Nailing the Standard.

<http://lawyerslawyer.net/2007/10/18/melbourne-barrister-john-walsh-of-brannaghs-client-declared-vexatious-after-suing-john-howard-for-treason/>



**Index announces new CEO –**

## A NEW CHAMPION FOR FREE EXPRESSION

By Index on Censorship / 8 April, 2014

Index on Censorship, the organization that advocates for freedom of expression and against censorship throughout the world, is delighted to announce the appointment of our new Chief Executive Officer, Jodie Ginsberg.

Jodie, who takes up her post next month (mid-May), comes from the think-tank, Demos. A former London Bureau Chief for Reuters, Jodie worked for more than a decade as a foreign correspondent and business journalist. She was previously Head of Communications for Camfed, a non-profit organisation working in girls' education.

The Chair of Index, David Aaronovitch, said; "Index is an indispensable organization and I am really pleased to have someone of Jodie's experience and talents coming to us. Index's work defending freedom of expression on- and off-line is more important than ever in the face of growing censorship in many countries around the world from Turkey to Russia, from Azerbaijan to India to China. I am sure Jodie will build on

the great work of her predecessor Kirsty Hughes and all the Index staff, and lead Index into new and important campaigns."

Jodie Ginsberg said: "Defending freedom of expression is not an easy task but it is a vital one. If we want to live in a world where everyone is free to speak, write, publish or perform without fear of persecution then we need to champion those rights every day. I'm thrilled to be leading an organisation with such an amazing track record in defending free expression and can't wait to start working with our incredible roster of supporters and contributors."

Outgoing CEO, Kirsty Hughes, said: "I am delighted that Jodie will lead Index in its vital campaigning work around the world. I wish her all success in this vital and exciting challenge."

Press enquiries to [info@indexoncensorship.org](mailto:info@indexoncensorship.org)

\*\*\*

## Australia debates repeal of parts of racial discrimination act

By Helen Clark / 8 April, 2014



**Disillusioned with the Abbott government's agenda, protestors took to the streets of Brisbane on March 16, 2014. The rally was staged as a vote of no confidence in policy that some say goes against principles of humanity, decency, fairness social justice and equity. (Photo: Claudia Baxter / Demotix)**

Australia is looking at repealing sections of the Racial Discrimination Act. Though the move has long been mooted by the government of prime minister Tony Abbott, recent moves to repeal parts of it—and specifically section 18C—has sparked public debate and anger on both sides of the political divide.

"People do have a right to be bigots you know," attorney general George Brandis told the Australian Senate in late March. He was referring to the Abbott government's repealing of section 18c of the Racial Discrimination Act which makes it unlawful to "offend, insult, humiliate or intimidate" people based upon their race.

Called by some the "Bolt clause" the repeal of this section has caused both outcry and debate. Conservatives, for the most part, applaud the action for reasons of freedom of speech. Others argue it sets a dangerous precedent and will allow more hate speech to go unchecked or unpunished. It also sends a wider message that racism is acceptable, critics argue. The Abbott government's stance can be traced back to 2011. News Limited columnist Andrew Bolt, who is one of the country's best known conservatives, was found guilty by a federal judge of breaching the Racial Discrimination Act. Writing in Melbourne daily the Herald Sun in 2009 Bolt suggested in two stories that light skinned indigenous people claimed Aboriginality for their own gain. A federal judge found that the articles had not been written in good faith and would offend a reasonable member of the Aboriginal community. Bolt had argued his articles fell within the laws of free speech

provisions and, after the ruling was handed down, called it "a terrible day for freedom of speech in this country."

"In good faith" is important to note as the Racial Discrimination Act's 18D stipulates that comments made in good faith are permissible as are expressions of genuine belief. Sections D, B and E will also be repealed, however. In their place it will be unlawful to vilify or intimidate persons based upon their race; however, "to intimidate means to cause fear of physical harm." The new exemption is rather more broad: "This section does not apply to words, sounds, images or writing spoken, broadcast, published or otherwise communicated in the course of participating in the public discussion of any political, social, cultural, religious, artistic, academic or scientific matter."

Section 18c does not actually carry a criminal penalty. It became law in 1995, partly through recommendations made by the Royal Commission into Aboriginal Deaths in Custody

In a March 12 editorial the Herald Sun pointed out that the government should not be there to adjudicate in cases where offense has been caused and "is to diminish people's right to voice their opinions, blunt as they might be." The paper pointed out that defamation laws—incidentally far more commonly used in Australia than any invocation of 18C—are generally more useful in determining if harm has been caused. Bolt has previously been sued for defamation by a Victorian judge.

Brandis has said he is a proponent of free speech and against the kind of internet filtering suggested previously under Labor whereby sites that were refused classification were simply blocked. Many of the sites—in a list published by Wikileaks—contained material that might have possibly been objectionable but was not illegal. As previously reported by Index, Brandis established a "Freedom Commissioner" in Tim Wilson in late 2013. Wilson has been a strong critic of the Australian Human Rights Commission, suggesting it had narrowed its horizons and focused more upon racial discrimination than freedom of speech. Before being appointed to the commission he attacked it for its silence on the previous Labor government's new media regulations. Wilson made clear last year at the time of his appointment that would support repealing of 18C.

Though publicly committed to free speech Abbott has previously criticised national broadcaster ABC for its reporting of alleged abuse of refugees by the Australian Navy and its reporting of Australia's tapping of Indonesian Prime Minister Yudhoyono's wife's phone, though under the previous administration, "a lot of people feel at the moment that the ABC instinctively takes everyone's side but Australia's."

Though the coalition has lionised the restorative powers of a free press upon a free society, one of its own MPs, Ken Wyatt, has threatened to cross the floor on this issue, while according to the Sydney Morning Herald, MPs David Coleman and Craig Laundy had also expressed concern. Crossing the floor is, though permissible, a rarity dangerous to one's political career.

Labor Senator Penny Wong and Leader of the Opposition in the Senate suggested that those arguing against 18C are viewing things in terms of "an abstract philosophical or legal argument... it's a debate about words and principles... For people who have experienced racism... it's actually a debate about real people and real hurt."

[This article was posted on 8 April 2014 at index on censorship.org](#)

\*\*\*

### **Fredrick Toben writes an email that doesn't get published – Index on Censorship is firmly in Jewish hands**

Helen Clark's article does not come to grips with the essence of what the RDA Section 18C is all about and why it needs to be repealed. Although he called me "a low-life", Michael Gawenda, et al, have had the courage to focus on what other media commentators have tried to hide by referring to the "Bolt Law", i.e. that it is in effect a "Holocaust" protection law – hence the claim that if Section 18C is not scrapped, then we have a TOBEN LAW specifically designed to shut me up! If it is scrapped, then, as I have been advised, the changes will be known as the Toben Amendments.

The Bolt case was used in an attempt to hide this Holocaust matter and still make it a free expression issue. The Institute of Public Affairs' Chris Berg wrote a book: From Galileo to Bolt, wherein he does not mention my case of about 18 years duration that was determined during 2002 and 2003 with my ultimately being bankrupted because I could not pay the court costs of over \$230,00. The IPA offered the book for free if you joined up. I joined up but then had my application rejected and money refunded.

There is now a battle between Bolt and Gawenda at - <http://galusaustralis.com/2014/04/8254/bolt-id-defend-israel-even-if-every-one-of-its-leaders-were-like-michael-gawenda/>

In my submission to the A-G - must be done before end of April - I spell out the trap set for the multiculturalists in Australia by Jewish interests who designed Section 18C. The aim of Section 18C has always been legally to protect as long as possible the Holocaust-Shoah narrative.

It also enables Palestinians to empathise not with their own plight but rather with the "eternally suffering and persecuted Jew", thereby forgetting their dream of ever having a country of their own – Palestine. Now there are moves to teach matters Holocaust in Palestine -

This elimination of Section 18C is the Anglo-Australian establishment trying to extract itself from the direct Jewish grip and align itself with the US First Amendment that permits anyone hurting another's feelings so long as it is not a direct threat to violence against person or property, i.e. not committing an act of moral turpitude; but the "hate" concept will perhaps eliminate the First Amendment.

The Liberals are trying to get back to basic British Common Law principles of Natural Justice where an individual is given a right-of-reply, and then if needed defamation law takes over when an insult is not true, and hurt feelings are just that and not actionable.

This elimination of Section 18C is global politics writ large. At the moment the term "denier" is used to shut up anyone who questions the climate change orthodoxy - as if science is ever settled, or what is worse, scientific results are obtained through consensus!

And those who question historical events, such as matters "Holocaust", will be howled down through shut-up words such as "Hater", "Holocaust denier", "Antisemite", "Racist", "Nazi" - and the latest "terrorist".

As "Holocaust" studies are compulsory in NSW schools, it is interesting but certainly not surprising that the specific "Holocaust" angel was revealed in Sean Nicholls' SMH exclusive article: "Barrister warns Barry O'Farrell of Holocaust denial risk under George Brandis' changes" at <http://www.smh.com.au/federalpolitics/politicalnews/barrister-warnsbarryofarrellofholocaustdenialriskundergeorgebrandischanges20140406366r8.html#ixzz2y9c4Skjr>

<http://www.indexoncensorship.org/2014/04/australia-debates-repeal-parts-racial-discrimination-act/>

### **The following material is of general interest – its contents has not been verified...**

\*\*\*

From: Mark [underthewire4me@gmail.com](mailto:underthewire4me@gmail.com)

Sent: Monday, 21 April 2014 5:23 PM

Subject: Fwd: Discovery of a 3,000-year-old mine and harbour on the coast of Queensland - suppressed

Thanks for this info Peter

Everyone ought attain a copy of the two books mentioned below. They are fascinating reading. Have them both the 'Originals' have only been in Australia around 1,000 years. There are many Artfacts and Phoenician & Egyptian carvings located on BOTH East and West coasts of

Australia Even a quarry in Qld where 200 ton blocks are cut!

I heard years ago most evidence - artifacts were thrown into the sea by the Australian armed forces. There are also many pyramids in Qld (google pyramids in Australia) - dating earlier than the volcanic explosion that created the Murwillumbah creator, Australia's largest

Does anyone really expect the truth to be disclosed by the media??? Hold your breath!

Mark

\*\*\*\*\*

**Awareness Quest researches and catalogues information on Archaeological Anomalies in Australia.**

\*

**We want to preserve Ancient sites including Pyramids, Stone Circles and Petroglyphs from destruction and denial.**

**Awareness Quest  
Australian Archaeological Anomalies Research**



## THE FRESHWATER POINT SITE



**Sunmap. Qld Dept Nat. Res. Scale 1:25000.**

The complex was first discovered by Val Osborn in 1990. Almost a decade of research has indicated it to be a typical Phoenician Colony settlement of the ancient sea kings of around 1000BC. Such sites exist the World over and presently generate enormous controversy among historians and other academics.

Artifacts, inscriptions and ruins of harbors and settlements have been privately documented but ignored in the face of official Australian history and British policies. Similar sites exist

around the North African Coasts with Carthage, an old capital and Tyre and Sidon, as Mediterranean capitals of the era 1500BC. The sea king trading era began with the Minos Kings out of Crete and Libya ending at Carthage, approximately 2000 BC to 400 BC.

Nothing further in international sea trade after the collapse of maritime history became evident until the 14th Century AD. A typical Phoenician colony was an isthmus, with freshwater springs, twin harbors built of stone set in furnace slag cement, houses of mud brick, with adjacent fields for crops of millet and barley. The religious edifices were unique with Bel and Tanit shrines as God and Goddess, with a tophet cemetery and also small shrines of sawn granite with a crude idol. The sea people were essentially traders in exotic wares and exported and imported also supplying navigators and shipwrights with a monopoly on maps, charts and navigation

Ezekiel 27 and first Kings 10 in the old Testament provide descriptions of the lifestyle, culture and cargoes with 3 year voyages utilising fleets Ivory from Africa, peacocks from India, marmosets from the Amazon etc. A mural depicting Queen Hatshepsut cargoes exists in the giant El Amarna Temple on the Nile from the same era. Australia was called Ophir or Big Java or the Aurea Chersensis in old maps and was well documented Black opal from Lightning Ridge and sapphires were found in Nile jewelry and Ophir gold highly valued in ancient times.

Egyptian, Hebrew, Phoenician and Ogham scripts are documented from sites all over Australia along with constructions, harbors and roads. At present the refusal to rewrite history has political overtones.



Chariot Axle found at Sarina, Queensland, Australia.



Chariot Axle found at Sarina, Queensland, Australia.



Chariot Axle found at Sarina, Queensland, Australia

The Freshwater Point complex is uniquely Phoenician, as are adjacent sites on the Queensland Coast. The two artificial harbors meticulously engineered are quite large and represent the labour of many over centuries. The East Harbour is keyed into a reef constructed of placed andesite boulders set in slag furnace cement with a back fill road of mined ore stone. The North Harbour jetty is of collapsed pylons of similar boulders set in gold slag cement, the pylons at intervals presumably once having a Limber top. A quarried stone chip road meets this in a triangle fashion. Adjacent to this ruined jetty is slag

heaps from furnaces of gold, mercury and copper ore. Furnaces were small, of dolomite bricks of half cubit sized, reinforced. Evidence of refining exists on the Sarina Inlet area with a sluice race and an artificial reservoir of water lined with clay, some 2 acres overall. A similar reservoir is found on the east

Harbour complex along with a sluice and tilled fields adjacent. As the culture was always of mud brick housing only retaining walls of stone are to be found to date.

The sea has eroded beaches and foreshores up to 80 metres in places and many parts of the site are now below the tide line, proving great antiquity. The minerals mined were gold, copper and mercury with dolomite mined for the furnace bricks. Intrusive hydro-thermal dykes, sills and stress veins occur from intrusive granite reefs -especially under water at high tides. Mining was carried out by heating the rock ten quenching with water to crack the ore body, levering the ore out and then crushing and refining into ingots. Over 1 millions tonnes of ore has been removed and processed with placer deposits carefully cleaned out. Beach boulders along with furnace slag were used to construct both jetties.

Three roads exist for loading of cargo, constructed of quarried ore body stone fill. The main ore bodies run NE from the headland and the miners hacked deep into the headland to the bottom of the "dip". The hydro-Thermal bodies still are extremely rich. A further bonanza for a colony could have been the wealth of cowries in the area, known as "money cowries", worth their weight in gold in antiquity. As well, Murex shells indigenous to Phoenicia and the Sarina area exist in abundance. From these shells, the famed tyrean purple dye was extracted. Evidence exists of ore from off-shore islands also being processed here. In Sarina Inlet a tophet cemetery along with the usual Bel temple exists with a boat yard and stone slip. Artifacts in cast iron have come to light here on the surface.

The Sarina complex is only one of many hydro-thermal, highly mineralised sites on the central Queensland coast. The mining techniques were limited and are strikingly similar to other antique mines such as at the Zimbabwe Plateau complex. Aboriginal activity is well documented in later times by aborigines demolishing walls to create fish traps etc. which raised the controversial question as to whether Australian aborigine tribes are remnants of colonies brought from Africa and India by ancient sea king expeditions. It follows that The Sarina complex is of vital interest to indigenous peoples and world historians. The argument that James Cook "discovered" Australia is fallacious. His ship's log shows that he had maps. His instructions were to reconnoiter the coast for the purpose of colonising. The real history of Australia remains controversial.

#### **INVESTIGATIONS:**

The problem is not mere historical politics but the implications and repercussions relative to changing Australian history. Proof of settlements and developments will change Palaeontological history of aboriginal origins if evidence arises here of galley slaves utilised for colonisation. Therefore, it is expected that academic controversy will occur in any future investigation. Similar sites now being investigated suffer the same fate. The size of the site plus its locale makes it impossible to police or to protect. Its enormous implications require protection at this stage. At present it is at serious risk and automatically becomes a problem to Sarina authorities. Publicity can only attract vandals, treasure seekers and collectors of artifacts.

#### **THE SARINA INLET SITE:**

This area covers some 3 kilometres of coastline including the North Harbour refining complex. Here we have a shipyard with boat-slip, revetments, walls and gigantic stone fish traps - the latter now buried in siltation from coastal development. The cemetery site is adjacent and typical Phoenician colonisation. The Phoenicians cremated their dead, interred the bones in amphora. The boatslip shows indications of windlasses and a filled loading platform exists among mangroves. All sites are heavily overgrown.

The main village is presently not located. The entire area representing a giant isthmus and rich farmed soils indicate fields and gardens. Further sites nearby are being investigated. Rich soils indicating part ploughing and agriculture are found near the north reservoir and the east reservoir. These currently bog four wheel drive vehicles. A stone wall runs from the existing road terminus around to the reservoir a sluicing site. The sluicing site exhibits collapsed stone walls running around to the mine site near the north

point. Limestone pier footings are found along with rock wall that retains the silted reservoir. To the west of this wall, now below high tide mark, the smelting area exists with discarded ore pieces and slag heaps. The smelting area and slag heaps are presently one metre below high water mark, the erosion having eaten away the inlet beach adjacent - proof of great antiquity. The jetty pylons have disintegrated out of the slag cement over centuries, yet run in a straight line some 600 metres in collapsed footings, through a stone cutting. Initially a quartz reef - gold bearing - has been removed and stone cut out adjacent to a quarried stone chip filled road at the apex of a triangle. Slag heaps now collapsed, are of mercury, copper and gold origins, greatly eroded. The harbour is of clean sand with a meticulously cleaned bottom adjacent the ancient jetty.

#### **MINES:**

Mining related to the extraction of quartz and calcite ores rich in gold, copper, along with meta cinnabar (mercury). Huge veins have been mined from low tide reefs extending back under the headlands. The main deposits existed on the northeast reefs below high water mark available at low tides. At the headland, the miners burrowed under the cliff faces, like rabbits, as far as feasible, for their fire and water techniques. The discard quarried stone was utilised to create roads and fill. Obviously carts and draft animals were used, even as Java buffalo were imported in the 1900s in early Queensland history, as draft animals. These reefs have been professionally hand-mined, walls and jetties carefully engineered. The stone crushed and refined on the north harbour site in typical Phoenician historical fashion. Blast furnaces constructed of refractory dolomite-slag brick were fed by wind funneling oxygen from erected sails. The mercury veins and several gold veins exist under the present headland but much of the activity was done below high tide. The contact hydro-thermal veins were all extremely rich. A dolomite vein has been mined at the east of the promontory and recently in the 1920s, a tentative mine seeking gold and copper was worked on the south of the promontory. A rich epidote deposit exists on The SE point. These mining operations match those on the Percy Islands and other coastal islands and mainland reefs. A road of quarried chips exists to the south of Freshwater Point accessing a

gold vein in gossan limestone. Microscopic analysis of the slag cements show varying batches of dolomite, gold dust, copper dust and mercury oxides mixed with furnace slag finely ground. This practice of concreting ceased around 200 BC with the introduction of Pozzoland and Portland cements. The absence of shell grits, muds of natural composition preclude any possibility of natural phenomena. Also, both jetties carefully engineered of tumbled granite boulders set in slag cement are in straight lines. Any inference of "natural phenomena" is therefore contradictory to known geological intrusions.

Also, portions of ore bodies from offshore islands found near the smelting site prove mining operations were broad-spectrum.

#### **REFINING:**

The quarried calcite ore bearing gold, copper or mercury along with quartzite were extracted from the metamorphic zone. It was then mortared by hand to a crushed dust, put through sluices to extract the fines, then packed into small brick kilns constructed of numbered reinforced bricks of refractory dolomite and slag in the usual small refinery manner. Dolomite was mined from the east reefs and ingots of metal cast as wedges or "ox hides" were then packed in straw as ballast in Phoenician vessels according to tradition. Rejected quarried ore was used to surface roads and landing areas as evidenced. Slag cement from the blast furnaces on the beach was recycled in jetty construction. In the east jetty, huge andesite boulders taken from adjacent beaches were set in slag cement presumably in wooden forms. In the north jetty complex, piers were constructed at intervals in the same manner. The Phoenicians were renowned for this type of unique slag cement construction. Always the furnaces were constructed for convenience sake on beaches. The cement slag is today

extremely hard, the Eddystone lighthouse in 1759 was built in a similar fashion by John Smeaton utilising Portland cement. A few old footings have been found of limestone concrete using shell grits. The andesite boulders used do not absorb water and are renowned for marine concrete application, unable to swell and crack the cement as the tendency is with other rock. These stones came from varying beach sites especially the north beach where they are conspicuously absent from the grading of this pebble beach. It is expected that other refining sites will turn up adjacent to other harbour walls such as at Avoid Island.

Hydro-thermal zones always provide huge riches at the surface. Where the veins dip beneath headlands, it was impossible for the ancient miners to go further, requiring explosives.

Brown millet has been found to the north relative to Mediterranean millet.

#### **NOTES:**

It is evidenced that the harbours were recently utilised at least in the last millennium, yet prior to Cook's arrival. Speculation now arises that the site was used for vessels utilising the ancient facilities. However, Australian history of the last 200 years shows no record whatsoever and local shipping records and news going back to Mackay's founding shows no indication of any knowledge of this area as a harbour. Coastal packet steamers were ignorant of its existence, as are modern manners including local fishermen. The present bitumen road access - was constructed in the 1980s and Admiralty maps show the site as an island. Present mangrove and salt pan growth plus cane farm silt have literally filled the neck into an isthmus - as well as filling Sarina Inlet with huge amounts of farm and clearing silts.

Sarina Inlet is now inaccessible to shipping at low tides. The neck of the isthmus exhibits several freshwater springs as well as adjacent to both large reservoirs. These bubble up from cracked andesite intrusions.

The southeast high knoll has been systematically cleared of vegetation. Presently has a small stone cairn peculiar in that it has garden soil at its apex and has been terraced in beach stones.

Small walls of typical tumbled granite boulders (up to 1 tonne), set in cement occur in odd places around Llewellyn Bay. They do not relate to recent fish traps.

The half cubit brick analysis of dolomite plus local crushed ore has measurements relative to the era 2000 - 500 BC, with a hole for typical rod reinforcing. It is a refractory furnace brick and has stamped by a stylus, the ancient Hebrew numbers "51" on its site. Similar bricks have been found. The cast iron tool found below low water mark along with a large rudder pirate (Central Qld. University possession) is identical with the depiction of such boat building tools chiseled in stone on a Nile facade at an ancient Egyptian shipyard, alongside adzes, saws, chisels etc. Its use is enigmatic.

Sawn granite pieces were found on the east harbour wall surf. Handsaw marks identical to ancient Egyptian handsaw marks in granite indicate these pieces may have been part of a Phoenician aedicule (a small shrine dedicated to Tanit).

Granite pieces here show bollard marks from thimbles used in mooring vessels. Two pieces were found on east harbour wall surface. Aboriginal fish traps at minimum 150 years old exist all over sheltered water sites where local aborigines have recycled ancient stone walls. However, the huge areas of stone traps in Sarina Inlet now buried under silt would have sufficed in one day's catch approximately 500 persons. They are in much older order relative to marine encrustation's. It is presumed from the enormous labour intensive constructions that approximately 500 to 1000 persons were present at any one time in these mining operations.

#### **SUMMARY:**

The entire complex has suffered repeated cyclone activity and a great deal of erosion - proof of great antiquity. The annals of ancient history associate the names of Queen Hatshepsut of Egypt and Ethiopia, King Solomon of Israel and Hiram, the

Phoenician of Tyre with three year voyages to Ophir - identified by historians with Australia in the era of around 1000 BC. In the era 90 AD, Ophir was stated by historians to be owned by India. In so much as great controversy exists, pertinent to ancient history, it is believed that excavations of the site will open old doors long shut and vents many similar artifacts and petroglyphs found all over Australia relative to Egyptian, Israeli and Cananite history.

This site and other sites recently discovered point to an as-yet undocumented culture of sea trading by ancient Phoenicians and in as much as this site has not yet been excavated and existing artifacts have been collected from the surface, it is predicted that archaeological investigation may well re-write Australian history out of Sarina. However, the deep and abiding concern of people involved here is that the site may be vandalised.

Part One of this analysis will be followed in 12 months by an expanded Part 2 embracing other sites on the coast relative to the phenomena.

#### **PHOENECIAN ORIGINS**

The name derives from the purple dye of the murex shell used to create a "papal" purple. Originally sea traders from the Red Sea, they moved capitals to Tyre, Byblos and Sidon on the Canan Coast and are referred to in history as Cananites in Akkadian Scripts of 1500 BC on an Egyptian Stele at Memphis. The early Mycenaean kings of Crete and Lydia are also closely identified. Cargo vessels were built of Lebanon Cedar and Aleppo pine and Bashan oak. Large double ended craft with square sails and up to 200 galley slaves at the oars. The Phoenicians monopolised the sea trade and were master mariners and navigators. Sufficient Phoenician Hebrew and Egyptian artifacts and inscriptions have been found all over Australia to prove a Mediterranean connection.

Cargo voyages took 3 years and one such fleet sailed to Ophir with Israeli overseers and Phoenician navigators as described in Old Testament animals seeking a pure white timber for Solomon's Jerusalem Temple. As recorded by the Jewish historian Josephus in his histories. Identified as the Eungella white hazelwood (symplocos specter). a giant native of Mackay and Fraser Island rainforests. Fraser Island timbers were used in antiquity and in the recent Suez Canal construction imported for pylons.

The Tophet and Bel temple construction also identifies the site as a Phoenician settlement, along with the unique smelting operations on the beach exclusively Phoenician in history.

Dating is clearly of great antiquity as the north harbour beach has eroded up to 50 metres and the old slag-heaps, docks and furnace site is now a good 50 metres offshore and underwater on full tides.

The half brick is identified as pre-Roman in half cubit measurements. This also dates the site. The brick ceased to be used approximately 300 BC, the change to linear Roman measurements.

There is a possibility that the site was visited in recent times by Europeans but no occupation indicating such recent colonisation exists prior to Cook. Examination of records from the last 400 years of maritime history show no record of this site, neither was it visited by coastal steamers or fishermen in the early coastal settlements of the last century. It remained unknown and until recently was inaccessible from the mainland as an island and as isthmus. Early Admiralty maps of the last century depict it as an island. The description "Freshwater Point" is recent history.

#### **ALTERNATIVES:**

If it is argued the Dutch, Portuguese or Spanish cultures were responsible for the site, no trace of any such history exists in the last millennium. The Phoenician connection is the only logical conclusion to fit the site. Erosion of granite at the mine sites point to great antiquity in the polishing of the veins by sea action, along with the submergence of the slag heaps, collapse of rock walls and general erosion. Despite skepticism in accepted history, the evidence contradicts it and the huge amount of labour expended at the site, plus the similarities to



known Cananite colonies demand a change of current presumed histories.

#### **DOCKS, WALLS, FURNACES ETC:**

The north harbour has an eroded shoreline and over centuries cyclones have hammered at the site. This allows reconstruction of the walls at site A, docks at site B, furnaces at site C and slag heaps at D and B.

Rich sieved soil at site F up to one metre in depth presumes ploughed fields and cultivation. It is presumed that the village existed to the west to service the harbour. However, a freshwater spring exists at site G.

The huge outlay of labour required for the complex points to a large village somewhere, with the usual potsherds etc. To date, this site has not been uncovered; evidence of ploughed fields exists as well on the east of the peninsula.

Loose rock walls exist all over the complex with present regrowth as revetments and retainers and the two giant reservoirs originally lined with red clay from the southwest of Armstrong Beach Creek. The sluices run across the neck of both reservoirs and are hand constructed. Some stones on the jetties amount to two tonnes in weight and only can have been placed by block and tackle. It is impossible that such stones be lodged in such sites by nature. Evidence of other construction for unknown reasons is found in three circular depressions at the rear of the east jetty wall and at the boat slips back in Sarina Inlet. These may have been designed for derricks.

The extent of labour required to construct the walls at the north harbour alone has been estimated by a marine engineer at approximately 1000 men working for one year. However, the richness of the ore bodies and gold placer deposits would have justified this outlay of labour. Subsequent removal of rocks up to a mere 50kg by aborigines to build fish traps has confused visuals somewhat, as well as scattering by cyclones resulting in slumping of many ancient walls.

Furnaces were small and traditional, of dolomite slag bricks, about 2 metres high, reinforced fed by sails funneling oxygen to wood fires. Crushed copper, gold and mercury ores melt at low temperatures.

It should be emphasised at this time (August 1999) that no archaeological excavation has taken place and conjecture and judgement has been relative to visual sightings with few surface artifacts, as to be expected.

It must also be emphasised that the Sarina site is only one of many similar sites known to exist on this coast all retaining early Mediterranean overtones. At the present there are more questions than answers and the project is in its infancy.

#### **ABORIGINAL IMPACT:**

Ethnic groups from the Central coastal region have been identified by custom and physical attributes as originating from the upper Indus (Dravidian) and the African Congo (Negrito). As these gene pools are diverse controversy exists relative to migration and the accepted theory of a Borneo land bridge around 12,000 to 45,000 years ago. Today such theories are unacceptable. The pygmy Negritos became extinct around 1900 AD yet they were well documented by pioneers. The local Dravidian tribes have also become extinct. Both cultures were at war with each other at the time of European settlement. The evidence presumes that the Dravidian peoples were indigenous to this area, the Negritos to the north. Large corroborees were held at Sarina as late as 1860. The impact of aboriginal culture is self evident at the site and adjacent sites of aboriginal camps, one of which was situated on Armstrong Beach, the other at the southwest point of Petersen's Rocks where a shack was built in the early 1900s by a local.

Tumbled granite rocks were recycled from adjacent walls and beach deposits to create current fish traps, quite evident at half tides. However, as a fish trap to feed a tribe of 100 persons rarely exceeds the size of a normal house block, the gigantic traps in the west portion of Sarina Inlet, the largest known in Australian history (up to 10 acres) are designed to feed a much larger community. Contrary to general belief, marine growth only collects on fish traps in muddy estuaries and it takes centuries for oysters etc. to colonise the stones. Also, smooth granite is rarely colonised by marine growth. The

giant traps in the north west are today buried in silt and only emerge after cyclonic floods. These adjoin the complex of walls, shipyard and slip-way.

The slip-way has a standard 15 degree slope, is heavily eroded and is backed by circular indentations indicating derricks or windlasses. The similar depressions in the front harbour wall may have been recycled as fish traps by aborigines. Circular traps are unknown elsewhere.

The difference in stone construction between aborigines and Europeans is self evident. The aboriginal saw no need to place one flat surface against another and had no knowledge of mortars. Latter day aboriginal occupation so evident would have removed any metals left over by the earlier culture.

Currently a large area to the west is being investigated seeking the original village site. On the southeast point of the isthmus standard size fish traps still exist along with an ancient dolomite and mercury mine. To the west some 300 metres. the 1920s gold and copper mine, a very small 3 man enterprise, is lobe located by a silted hole and some 3 tonnes of quarried copper tailings. This was anyway, an ill-advised prospecting site, but the miners must have had their reasons. Aboriginal artifacts are fairly plentiful, scattered about the complex.

The entire area shows clearing to have been undertaken in the past. Large softwoods relative to freshwater springs elsewhere are conspicuous by theft absence. The present 4WD tracks through the complex show repeated bogging in the fine rich sieved soils. Obviously these areas have been cultivated in the past. Such soils are not to be found elsewhere along the coast. It is also known that an aboriginal camp existed at Plane Creek, Sarina and as well, at Armstrong Beach adjacent to Freshwater. However, no permanent camp was known to be at Freshwater Point and it is presumed the aborigines hunted there regularly.

It is contrary to known aboriginal cultures to have constructed such stone projects anywhere in Australia. It is also evident that walls were demolished by aborigines to build fish traps.

In the annals of antiquity, especially Phoenician and Egyptian, Ethiopian slaves were prevalent especially as galley slaves on ships. The origin and subsequent transport of African and Indus tribes becomes a very real possibility relative to colonies elsewhere especially on the Australian coast known in antiquity as Ophir. The customs of east coast tribes show Mediterranean, African and Indian associations that have long mystified anthropologists and if the Sarina site proves to be Phoenician, then the origins of aborigines in Australia requires thorough reinvestigation.

These questions will be expanded upon in Part 2 of this report of a two-year study.

#### **JETTY CONSTRUCTION:**

The Phoenician cargo platforms are built the same way as they were at Carthage and Tyre. Beach boulders, preferably granite rounded by wave action, were set in forms and slag cement poured in. Timber was then set on top. The complex jetties at Sarina, one facing north, the other due east were so engineered. The east jetty has stones taken from adjacent beaches as does the north jetty. The difference is that in the former, stones are set in a reef of rock and back-filled to a level top with quarried stone. A large number of yellow dolomite fragments are present as fill, mined from the forepart of the sedimentary reef and from the south point of the isthmus. It is impossible for such tumbled beach stones to migrate naturally from the beaches and to set themselves in a straight line 600 metres long in a cement that analyses microscopically as slag cement. The east jetty construction was of pylons of such concrete, at intervals, now collapsed and eroded down to the base of the cement. The centre pylons have vanished from a line of some 800 metres of pylons set in a previously excavated ore reef. A rock cutting runs some 20 metres at the north end to allow for the jetty extension. Some stones weigh over one and a half tonnes.

It is possible for delta stones similar to this to deposit themselves in mud at river mouths but these originate in adjacent beaches and are set in varying batches of slag

cement, matching pieces of slag cement nearby, showing traces of gold, copper and mercury under analysis. Also, it is obvious that the stones were fitted in places. Both jetties submerge some 1 metre at high tide at present. An eroded curved runway exists at the base of the north jetty for cart loading. A metal detector search proved futile as the entire complex is highly mineralised. The fill used on these jetties for ramps and roads is of quarried stone from the mines and readily matched.

The entire area represents an enormous amount of stone and fill and labour with an astonishing amount of ore body cut out and duly processed. Such labour intensive activity requires a large work force.

#### **FOOD SUPPLIES:**

While the giant buried fish traps in Sarina Inlet silts would have yielded prolific catches in antiquity and it is known that Phoenicians fed slaves on the marine products, they also ate millet and barley in their diet and historians speak of them planting crops on their famed three year voyages.

The richness of soils devoid of rubble, adjacent the reservoirs with present thick grass overgrowth point to agriculture on a fairly large scale, up to 50 acres. Timber was originally prolific in a rainforest spring environment but would have been quickly stripped for fires and furnaces. The absence of old rainforest timbers is a conspicuous feature with new growth only now appearing. Rainforests once stripped, rarely recover. The present biota of wild foods is an indication of past abundance. The fish stocks of the past century were phenomenal as testified in recorded history.

As millet has been found to the north among wild grasses, it is expected to turn up here as well. Some odd fruit trees have been noted, not Australian natives, and early explorers noted nutmeg, pepper and plantains etc.

#### **SHIPS AND COLONIES:**

The colonies of Hanno, the Phoenician, are recorded on a stele at Carthage, a latter day outpost. Hanno was banished with his relatives for failing to win a war. His emigration consisted of 60 vessels and 30,000 settlers, some 500 per vessel. In 425 BC, to the west coast of Africa he took his settlers to found new city states.

Pharaoh Necho hired the Phoenicians to circumnavigate Africa and Darius the Mede hired their ships and mercenaries. Trade and import/export maritime activities were the monopoly of Phoenicians, especially in metals and gems. The Hanno expedition gives a glimpse of the fading Phoenician Empire in its last stages. Some 500 years previous to Hanno, King Solomon sent his Hebrew overseers with a fleet of Phoenicians to Ophir on a 3 year voyage who returned with 200 tonnes of gold. Sadly, Phoenician history is sparse and maritime trade collapsed with the loss of library maps and navigators. The trade did not revive until the 14th Century AD. Considering that the Babylonian Empire rose after the Phoenician Empire fell, any evidences will be sparse in ancient colonies. The Libyan colonies bear startling similarities to the Sarina site, however, archaeologists find typical Phoenician colonies distinct from any other. It is expected that excavations at Sarina will link up with other Australian sites, artifacts, glyphs and constructions, already documented and awaiting research.

#### **APPENDIX/NOTES:**

A. An analysis of the slag cement of the east harbour wall proves that iron smelting, suspected in the odd pieces of pig iron slag found, was carried out here. The ore came from Red Clay Island or Iron Island, some 60 km to the south east. Here, huge deposits of high grade steel ores are the composition of a massive island and fine beads of native iron are unique to the island. The same tiny globules appear in analysis of the slag cement utilised to set granite boulders in the harbour wall - along with fragments of flake pig iron and dolomite. The original ore was magnetic, the flakes are now highly magnetic. This proves a refining process with crushed dolomite used. Iron in pig iron form, is depicted in El Amarna Egyptian murals and in 1000 BC was as expensive as gold. Damascus was owned by Phoenicians and renowned for Damascus steel,

B. Analysis of the stone used at the Tophet cemetery proves it came from Armstrong Beach at the south end from an outcrop of pyroclastic rock of distinctive formation. Why such large cartloads of rock should have been carted some 6 km is unclear unless the area was also mined - a distinct possibility.

#### **GEOLOGY:**

The geology within Sarina shire embraces almost every variation of rock development and mineral formation known to science. Simply put, it is astonishing and as yet undocumented. Some 30 metres of sediments sit on metamorphic igneous intrusion<sup>5</sup> in a marine coastal environment torment. Once Sarina town was a low-lying beach of pebble adjacent to coral atolls and reefs. Upwelling magma created volcanic plugs that here and there did not reach the surface in many places, creating a complexity of sills, intrusions in an explosion of hydro-thermal activity. Rare earths exist in the ancient sediments as well. The present coastal range, once a beach and headlands rose along with up thrust limestone coral reefs and igneous intrusions fissured by earthquakes and gases. The result is a geology students dream/nightmare. Guided tours could be formulated for tourists and academics relative to the sheer diversity on offer. Very rare minerals are present along with rare metals and tiny gemstones. The entire area is known to be an unexploited gold and silver field. Granite lava contact metamorphic reefs rise vertically, especially offshore. In future authorities must decide between the values of tourism or industrial development. The spectacular island formations are of enormous heritage values relative to future tourism.

**Val Osborn can be contacted at Snail Mail: Armstrong Beach Road, Sarina, Q 4737. or by contacting [osbornruth@orion-online.com.au](mailto:osbornruth@orion-online.com.au) <http://www.australianarchaeologicalanomalies.com.au/AAA/osborn.htm>**

\*\*\*

#### **Awareness Quest's Dedication to Other Researchers**

**Val Osborn:** Discoverer of a 3,000-year-old mine and harbour at Sarina on the coast of central Queensland is set to change Australian, if not world history. [here](#)

**Rex Gilroy:** No one could publish anything on Australian Mysteries without mentioning Rex Gilroy. He is known for his lifelong fascination and research into all aspects of Australian unexplained mysteries and enigmas of our prehistory. Rex Gilroy dares to question the blind dogma of scientific conformity with evidence for which university text books have no answers. Rex has two books available and a new website: <http://www.internetezy.com.au/~mj129/index.html>

**Brett Green:** Check out his web page [here](#)

**Graham Walsh:** Check out Graham's web page (sorry broken link)

**Paul White:** Of "Maggies Farm" magazine fame will soon have his long awaited book available. Paul has also produced and directed a four part video series called "Ancient Secrets" as well as writing many fascinating articles about his research which can be viewed by clicking [here](#)

**Dr Anthony G. Wheeler:** Author of a report called "[Australian Pyramids](#)". [More...](#)

**Ogham Historical Society, Brisbane Queensland:** A volunteer non-profit association of friendly people of good character who have an interest in ancient Irish history and the associated historical streams related to the cultures and the movement of peoples in ancient times. [More...](#)

**Greg Jeffery:** Authored a [report](#) on the 'Gympie Pyramid' theories. Greg has a website about the shipwrecks on Stradbroke Island, Queensland, Australia.

**Gilbert Deam:** Has compiled newspaper clippings and articles into a photocopied book called "In search of Australia's Lost Pyramids". Now he has his second compilation out called

"Ancient and Mysterious Discoveries in Australia". Available from Gil at P.O.Box 167, Gympie Queensland 4570, Australia.

**Martin Doutre:** [AncientCelticNewZealand](http://www.AncientCelticNewZealand.com.au)

**New Zealand: Integrity Research Foundation.** Contact: Dr Gary Cook. [gcook@compuserve.com](mailto:gcook@compuserve.com) Gary Cook and Thomas Brown have authored a book called "The Secret Land 1, People Before"

**New Zealand: Ross Wiseman:** Author of four books which his latest presents evidence that the first settlers of New

Zealand were Phoenician navigators who arrived 2000 years ago... he can be contacted via snail mail at Discovery Press, 22 Mountain Road, Henderson Valley, Auckland 8, NZ.

There are alot more researchers than listed. As they come to light they will appear here

<http://www.australianarchaeologicalanomalies.com.au/AAA/other.htm>

---

## Controlled Opposition – From Goldstein to Soros and Beyond

Saturday, April 13, 2013 at 1:29PM [Gilad Atzmon](#)

**Jewish power is the unique capacity to stop us from discussing or even contemplating Jewish power. It is the capacity to determine the boundaries of the political discourse and criticism in particular.**

\*

In his new book, *The Invention Of The Land of Israel*, Israeli academic Shlomo Sand, manages to present conclusive evidence of the far fetched nature of the Zionist historical narrative - that the Jewish Exile is a myth as is the Jewish people and even the Land of Israel.

Yet, Sand and many others fail to address the most important question: If Zionism is based on myth, how do the Zionists manage to get a way with their lies, and for so long?

If the Jewish 'homecoming' and the demand for a Jewish national homeland cannot be historically substantiated, why has it been supported by both Jews and the West for so long? How does the Jewish state manage for so long to celebrate its racist expansionist ideology and at the expense of the Palestinian and Arab peoples?

Jewish power is obviously one answer, but, what is Jewish power? Can we ask this question without being accused of being Anti Semitic? Can we ever discuss its meaning and scrutinize its politics? Is Jewish Power a dark force, managed and maneuvered by some conspiratorial power? Is it something of which Jews themselves are shy? Quite the opposite - Jewish power, in most cases, is celebrated right in front of our eyes. As we know, AIPAC is far from being quiet about its agenda, its practices or its achievements. AIPAC, CFI in the UK and CRIF in France are operating in the most open manner and often openly brag about their success.

Furthermore, we are by now accustomed to watch our democratically elected leaders shamelessly queuing to kneel before their pay-masters. Neocons certainly didn't seem to feel the need to hide their close Zionist affiliations. Abe Foxman's Anti Defamation League (ADL) works openly towards the Judification of the Western discourse, chasing and harassing anyone who dares voice any kind of criticism of Israel or even of Jewish choseness. And of course, the same applies to the media, banking and Hollywood. We know about the many powerful Jews who are not in the slightest bit shy about their bond with Israel and their commitment to Israeli security, the Zionist ideology, the primacy of Jewish suffering, Israeli expansionism and even outright Jewish exceptionalism.

But, as ubiquitous as they are, AIPAC, CFI, ADL, Bernie Madoff, 'liberator' Bernard Henri Levy, war-advocate David Aaronovitch, free market prophet Milton Friedman, Steven Spielberg, Haim Saban, Lord Levy and many other Zionist enthusiasts and Hasbara advocates are not necessarily the core or the driving force behind Jewish Power, but are merely symptoms. Jewish power is actually far more sophisticated than simply a list of Jewish lobbies or individuals performing highly developed manipulative skills.

**Jewish power is the unique capacity to stop us from discussing or even contemplating Jewish power. It is the capacity to determine the boundaries of the political discourse and criticism in particular.**

Contrary to popular belief, it is not 'right wing' Zionists who facilitate Jewish power, It is actually the 'good', the 'enlightened' and the 'progressive' who make Jewish power the most effective and forceful power in the land. It is the 'progressives' who confound our ability to identify the Judeocentric tribal politics at the heart of Neoconservatism, American contemporary imperialism and foreign policy. It is the so-called 'anti' Zionist who goes out of his or her way to divert our attention from the fact that Israel defines itself as the Jewish State and blinds us to the fact that its tanks are decorated with Jewish symbols. It was the Jewish Left intellectuals who rushed to denounce Professors Mearsheimer and Walt, Jeff Blankfort and James Petras' work on the Jewish Lobby. And it is no secret that [Occupy AIPAC](#), the campaign against the most dangerous political Lobby in America, is dominated by a few righteous members of the chosen tribe. We need to face up to the fact that our dissident voice is far from being free. Quite the opposite, we are dealing here with an institutional case of controlled opposition.

In George Orwell's 1984, it is perhaps Emmanuel Goldstein who is the pivotal character. Orwell's Goldstein is a Jewish revolutionary, a fictional Leon Trotsky. He is depicted as the head of a mysterious anti-party organization called "The Brotherhood" and is also the author of the most subversive revolutionary text (The Theory and Practice of Oligarchical Collectivism). Goldstein is the 'dissenting voice', the one who actually tells the truth. Yet, as we delve into Orwell's text, we find out from Party's 'Inner Circle' O'Brien that Goldstein was actually invented by Big Brother in a clear attempt to control the opposition and the possible boundaries of dissidence.

Orwell's personal account of the Spanish Civil War "Homage To Catalonia" clearly presaged the creation of Emmanuel Goldstein. It was what Orwell witnessed in Spain that, a decade later, matured into a profound understanding of dissent as a form of controlled opposition. My guess is that, by the late 1940's, Orwell had understood the depth of intolerance, and tyrannical and conspiratorial tendencies that lay at the heart of 'Big Brother-ish' Left politics and praxis.

Surprisingly enough, an attempt to examine our contemporaneous controlled opposition within the Left and the Progressive reveal that it is far from being a conspiratorial. Like in the case of the Jewish Lobby, the so-called 'opposition' hardly attempts to disguise its ethno-centric tribal interests, spiritual and ideological orientation and affiliation.

A brief examination of the list of organisations founded by [George Soros](#)' Open Society Institute (OSI) presents a grim picture - pretty much the entire American progressive network is funded, partially or largely by a liberal Zionist, philanthropic billionaire who supports very many good and important causes that are also very good for the Jews. And yet, like staunch Zionist Haim Saban, Soros does not operate clandestinely. His Open Society Institute proudly provides all the necessary information regarding the vast amount of *shekels* it spreads on its good and important causes.

So one can't accuse Soros or the Open Society Institute of any sinister vetting the political discourse, stifling of free speech or even to 'controlling the opposition'. All Soros does is to



support a wide variety of 'humanitarian causes': Human Rights, Women's Rights, Gay Rights, equality, democracy, Arab 'Spring', Arab Winter, the oppressed, the oppressor, tolerance, intolerance, Palestine, Israel, anti war, pro-war (only when really needed), and so on.

As with Orwell's Big Brother that frames the boundaries of dissent by means of control opposition, Soros' Open Society also determines, either consciously or unconsciously, the limits of critical thought. Yet, unlike in 1984, where it is the Party that invents its own opposition and write its texts, within our 'progressive' discourse, it is our own voices of dissent, willingly and consciously, that are compromising their principles.

Soros may have read Orwell - he clearly believes his message - because from time to time he even supports opposing forces. For instance, he funds the Zionist-lite J Street as well as Palestinian NGO organisations. And guess what? It never takes long for the Palestinian beneficiaries to, [compromise their own, most precious principles](#) so they fit nicely into their paymaster's worldview.

### The Visible Hand

*The invisible hand* of the market is a metaphor coined by Adam Smith to describe the self-regulating behaviour of the marketplace. In contemporary politics, *The visible hand* is a similar metaphor which describes the self-regulating tendency of the political-fund beneficiary, to fully integrate the world view of its benefactor into its political agenda.

Democracy Now, the most important American dissident outlet has never discussed the Jewish Lobby with Mearsheimer, Walt, Petras or Blankfort - the four leading experts who could have informed the American people about the USA's foreign policy domination by the Jewish Lobby. For the same reasons, Democracy Now wouldn't explore the Neocon's Judeo-centric agenda nor would it ever discuss Jewish Identity politics with yours truly. Democracy Now will host Noam Chomsky or Norman Finkelstein, it may even let Finkelstein chew up Zionist caricature Alan Dershowitz - all very good, but not good enough.

Is the fact that Democracy Now is heavily funded by Soros relevant? I'll let you judge.

If I'm correct (and I think I am) we have a serious problem here. As things stand, it is actually the progressive discourse, or at least large part of it, that sustains Jewish Power. If this is indeed the case, and I am convinced it is, then the occupied progressive discourse, rather than Zionism, is the primary obstacle that must be confronted.


It is no coincidence that the 'progressive' take on 'antisemitism' is suspiciously similar to the Zionist one. Like Zionists, many progressive institutes and activists adhere to the bizarre suggestion that opposition to Jewish power is 'racially motivated' and embedded in some 'reactionary' Goyish tendency. Consequently, Zionists are often supported by some 'progressives' in their crusade against critics of Israel and Jewish power. Is this peculiar alliance between these allegedly opposing schools of thoughts, the outcome of a possible ideological continuum between these two seemingly opposed political ideologies? Maybe, after all, progressiveness like Zionism is driven by a peculiar inclination towards 'chosenness'. After all, being progressive somehow implies that someone else must be 'reactionary'. It is those self-centric elements of exceptionalism and chosenness that have made progressiveness so attractive to secular and emancipated Jews. But the main reason the 'progressive' adopted the Zionist take on antisemitism, may well be because of the work of that *visible hand* that miraculously shapes the progressive take on race, racism and the primacy of Jewish suffering.

We may have to face up to the fact that the progressive discourse effectively operates as Israel's longest arm - it certainly acts as a gatekeeper and as protection for Zionism and Jewish tribal interests. If Israel and its supporters would ever be confronted with real opposition it might lead to some long-overdue self-reflection. But at the moment, Israel and Zionist lobbies meet only insipid, watered-down, progressively-vetted resistance that, in practice, sustains

Israeli occupation, oppression and an endless list of human rights abuses.

Instead of mass opposition to the Jewish State and its aggressive lobby, our 'resistance' is reduced into a chain of badge-wearing, keffiyeh-clad, placard-waving mini-gatherings with the occasional tantrum from some neurotic Jewess while being videoed by another good Jew. If anyone believes that a few badges, a load of amateur Youtube clips celebrating Jewish righteousness are going to evolve into a mass anti-Israel global movement, they are either naïve or stupid.

In fact, a recent Gallup poll revealed that current Americans' sympathy for Israel has reached an [All-Time High](#). 64% of Americans sympathise with the Jewish State, while only 12% feel for the Palestinians. This is no surprise and our conclusion should be clear. As far as Palestine is concerned, 'progressive' ideology and praxis have led us precisely nowhere. Rather than advance the Palestinian cause, it only locates the 'good' Jew at the centre of the solidarity discourse.



When was the last time a Palestinian freedom fighter appeared on your TV screen? Twenty years ago the Palestinian were set to become the new Che Guevaras. Okay, so the Palestinian freedom fighter didn't necessarily speak perfect English and wasn't a graduate of an English public school, but he was free, authentic and determined. He or she spoke about their land being taken and of their willingness to give what it takes to get it back. But now, the Palestinian has been 'saved', he or she doesn't have to fight for his or her their land, the 'progressive' is taking care of it all.

This 'progressive' voice speaks on behalf of the Palestinian and, at the same time, takes the opportunity to also push marginal politics, fight 'Islamism' and 'religious radicalisation' and occasionally even supports the odd interventionist war and, of course, always, always, always fights antisemitism. The controlled opposition has turned the Palestinian plight into just one more 'progressive' commodity, lying on the back shelf of its ever-growing 'good-cause' campaign store.

For the Jewish progressive discourse, the purpose behind pro-Palestinian support is clear. It is to present an impression of pluralism within the Jewish community. It is there to suggest that not all Jews are bad Zionists. Philip Weiss, the founder of the most popular progressive pro-Palestinian blog was even brave enough to admit to me that it is [Jewish self-interests](#) that stood at the core of his pro Palestinian activity.

Jewish self-love is a fascinating topic. But even more fascinating is Jewish progressives loving themselves at the expense of the Palestinians. With billionaires such as Soros maintaining the discourse, solidarity is now an industry, concerned with profit and power rather than ethics or values and it is a spectacle both amusing and tragic as the Palestinians become a side issue within their own solidarity discourse.

So, perhaps before we discuss the 'liberation of Palestine', we first may have to liberate ourselves.

\*\*\*

**The Wandering Who? A Study Of Jewish Identity Politics and Jewish Left's spin particular** [Amazon.com](#) or [Amazon.co.uk](#)  
<http://www.gilad.co.uk/writings/controlledoppositionfrom-goldstein-to-soros-and-beyond.html>